

# Document made available under the Patent Cooperation Treaty (PCT)

International application number: PCT/US05/000800

International filing date: 11 January 2005 (11.01.2005)

Document type: Certified copy of priority document

Document details: Country/Office: US  
Number: 60/621,961  
Filing date: 25 October 2004 (25.10.2004)

Date of receipt at the International Bureau: 21 February 2005 (21.02.2005)

Remark: Priority document submitted or transmitted to the International Bureau in compliance with Rule 17.1(a) or (b)



World Intellectual Property Organization (WIPO) - Geneva, Switzerland  
Organisation Mondiale de la Propriété Intellectuelle (OMPI) - Genève, Suisse

1282885

# THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

TO ALL TO WHOM THESE PRESENTS SHALL COME:

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

United States Patent and Trademark Office

*February 08, 2005*

**THIS IS TO CERTIFY THAT ANNEXED HERETO IS A TRUE COPY FROM THE RECORDS OF THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE OF THOSE PAPERS OF THE BELOW IDENTIFIED PATENT APPLICATION THAT MET THE REQUIREMENTS TO BE GRANTED A FILING DATE.**

**APPLICATION NUMBER: 60/621,961**

**FILING DATE: *October 25, 2004***

**RELATED PCT APPLICATION NUMBER: *PCT/US05/00800***



Certified by

Under Secretary of Commerce  
for Intellectual Property  
and Director of the United States  
Patent and Trademark Office

# PROVISIONAL APPLICATION FOR PATENT COVER SHEET

This is a request for filing a PROVISIONAL APPLICATION FOR PATENT under 37 CFR 1.53(c).

Express Mail Label No. EV543596513US

19249 U.S. PTO  
60/621961

102504

INVENTOR(S)					
Given Name (first and middle [if any])		Family Name or Surname		Residence (City and either State or Foreign Country)	
Eric T.		Ahrens		Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania	
Additional inventors are being named on the _____ separately numbered sheets attached hereto					
TITLE OF THE INVENTION (500 characters max)					
CELLULAR LABELING FOR NUCLEAR MAGNETIC RESONANCE TECHNIQUES					
Direct all correspondence to: CORRESPONDENCE ADDRESS					
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Customer Number:		28120			
OR					
<input type="checkbox"/> Firm or Individual Name		Anita Varma ROPES & GRAY LLP			
Address		One International Place			
City	Boston	State	MA	Zip	02110-2624
Country	US	Telephone	(617) 951-7000	Fax	(617) 951-7050
ENCLOSED APPLICATION PARTS (check all that apply)					
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Specification Number of Pages	54	<input type="checkbox"/>	CD(s), Number	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Drawing(s) Number of Sheets	15	<input type="checkbox"/>	Other	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Application Data Sheet. See 37 CFR 1.76 (specify):				
METHOD OF PAYMENT OF FILING FEES FOR THIS PROVISIONAL APPLICATION FOR PATENT					
<input type="checkbox"/> Applicant claims small entity status. See 37 CFR 1.27.					FILING FEE AMOUNT (\$)  160.00
<input type="checkbox"/> A check or money order is enclosed to cover the filing fees.					
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> The Director is hereby authorized to charge filing fees or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account Number: 18-1945					
<input type="checkbox"/> Payment by credit card. Form PTO-2038 is attached.					
The invention was made by an agency of the United States Government or under a contract with an agency of the United States Government.					
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No. <input type="checkbox"/> Yes, the name of the U.S. Government agency and the Government contract number are:					

[Page 1 of 1]

Respectfully submitted,

Date October 25, 2004

SIGNATURE

TYPED or

PRINTED NAME

John D. Quisel

TELEPHONE

(617) 951-7685

REGISTRATION NO.

(if appropriate)

47,874

Docket Number:

CAMU-P61-002

USE ONLY FOR FILING A PROVISIONAL APPLICATION FOR PATENT

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the U.S. Postal Service as Express Mail, Airbill No. EV543596513US, in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450, on the date shown below.

Dated:

10/25/04

Signature:

Paula Depelteau

(Paula Depelteau)

Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number.

**FEE TRANSMITTAL**  
**for FY 2004**

Effective 10/01/2003. Patent fees are subject to annual revision.

☐ Applicant claims small entity status. See 37 CFR 1.27**Complete if Known**

Application Number Not Yet Assigned

Filing Date Concurrently Herewith

First Named Inventor Eric T. Ahrens

Examiner Name Not Yet Assigned

Art Unit N/A

Attorney Docket No. CAMU-P61-002

**TOTAL AMOUNT OF PAYMENT (\$)** 160.00**METHOD OF PAYMENT (check all that apply)**☐ Check ☐ Credit Card ☐ Money Order ☐ Other ☐ None☒ Deposit Account:

Deposit Account Number 18-1945

Deposit Account Name Ropes &amp; Gray LLP

The Director is authorized to: (check all that apply)

☒ Charge fee(s) indicated below ☒ Credit any overpayments☒ Charge any additional fee(s) or any underpayment of fee(s)☐ Charge fee(s) indicated below, except for the filing fee to the above-identified deposit account.**FEE CALCULATION****1. BASIC FILING FEE**

Large Entity		Small Entity		Fee Description	Fee Paid
Fee Code	Fee (\$)	Fee Code	Fee (\$)		
1001	770	2001	385	Utility filing fee	
1002	340	2002	170	Design filing fee	
1003	530	2003	265	Plant filing fee	
1004	770	2004	385	Reissue filing fee	
1005	160	2005	80	Provisional filing fee	160.00

**SUBTOTAL (1) (\$)** 160.00**2. EXTRA CLAIM FEES FOR UTILITY AND REISSUE**

Total Claims	Extra Claims	Fee from below	Fee Paid
Independent Claims	** =	x	=
Multiple Dependent	** =	x	=

Large Entity		Small Entity		Fee Description
Fee Code	Fee (\$)	Fee Code	Fee (\$)	
1202	18	2202	9	Claims in excess of 20
1201	86	2201	43	Independent claims in excess of 3
1203	290	2203	145	Multiple dependent claim, if not paid
1204	86	2204	43	** Reissue independent claims over original patent
1205	18	2205	9	** Reissue claims in excess of 20 and over original patent

**SUBTOTAL (2) (\$)** 0.00

\*\*or number previously paid, if greater; For Reissues, see above

**FEE CALCULATION (continued)****3. ADDITIONAL FEES**

Large Entity		Small Entity		Fee Description	Fee Paid
Fee Code	Fee (\$)	Fee Code	Fee (\$)		
1051	130	2051	65	Surcharge - late filing fee or oath	
1052	50	2052	25	Surcharge - late provisional filing fee or cover sheet	
1053	130	1053	130	Non-English specification	
1812	2,520	1812	2,520	For filing a request for ex parte reexamination	
1804	920*	1804	920*	Requesting publication of SIR prior to Examiner action	
1805	1,840*	1805	1,840*	Requesting publication of SIR after Examiner action	
1251	110	2251	55	Extension for reply within first month	
1252	420	2252	210	Extension for reply within second month	
1253	950	2253	475	Extension for reply within third month	
1254	1,480	2254	740	Extension for reply within fourth month	
1255	2,010	2255	1,005	Extension for reply within fifth month	
1401	330	2401	165	Notice of Appeal	
1402	330	2402	165	Filing a brief in support of an appeal	
1403	290	2403	145	Request for oral hearing	
1451	1,510	1451	1,510	Petition to institute a public use proceeding	
1452	110	2452	55	Petition to revive - unavoidable	
1453	1,330	2453	665	Petition to revive - unintentional	
1501	1,330	2501	665	Utility issue fee (or reissue)	
1502	480	2502	240	Design issue fee	
1503	640	2503	320	Plant issue fee	
1460	130	1460	130	Petitions to the Commissioner	
1807	50	1807	50	Processing fee under 37 CFR 1.17(q)	
1806	180	1806	180	Submission of Information Disclosure Stmt	
8021	40	8021	40	Recording each patent assignment per property (times number of properties)	
1809	770	2809	385	Filing a submission after final rejection (37 CFR 1.129(a))	
1810	770	2810	385	For each additional invention to be examined (37 CFR 1.129(b))	
1801	770	2801	385	Request for Continued Examination (RCE)	
1802	900	1802	900	Request for expedited examination of a design application	

Other fee (specify)

\*Reduced by Basic Filing Fee Paid

**SUBTOTAL (3) (\$)** 0.00**SUBMITTED BY**

(Complete if applicable)

Name (Print/Type) John D. Quisel

Registration No. 47,874  
(Attorney/Agent)

Telephone (617) 951-7685

Signature

Date October 25, 2004

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the U.S. Postal Service as Express Mail, Airbill No. EV543596513US, in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450, on the date shown below.

Dated: 10/25/04

Signature: Paula Depelteau (Paula Depelteau)

## CELLULAR LABELING FOR NUCLEAR MAGNETIC RESONANCE TECHNIQUES

### BACKGROUND

5           Many biological processes are carried out by dynamic, mobile populations of cells. For example, cells of the immune system are recruited from the bloodstream to areas of inflammation or infection, resulting in an accumulation of immune cells at the affected site. A marked infiltration of immune cells often occurs in tissues affected by autoimmune diseases, cancers and infections. Likewise, transplant  
10 rejection is mediated by host immune cells that enter and destroy the transplanted tissue. There is also growing evidence that stem cells originating in the bone marrow migrate through the bloodstream and assist in the regeneration of damaged tissues.

          Although dynamic cell populations play a key role in significant diseases,  
15 present technologies for monitoring the movement of cells *in vivo* are quite limited. Typically, cell movements are monitored only in “snap shots” obtained by histological analysis of tissue biopsies. However, the process of sampling a tissue often alters the behavior of cells, and only a limited number of biopsies can be obtained from a particular tissue or organ. Some progress has been made studying  
20 cell movements via *in vitro* assays and isolated tissues *ex-vivo*. Existing instruments for non-invasive analysis of living organisms are, at present, ill-suited for tracking living cells. Light-based imaging technologies, such as bioluminescence (e.g. luciferases) technologies, are often ineffective at visualizing deep structures because most mammalian tissues are optically opaque. Positron emission tomography (PET)  
25 techniques using radioactively-labeled probes are highly sensitive. However, PET instrumentation is often limited to a resolution of several millimeters and is unable to resolve fine details of tissues and organs. Furthermore, labeled cells cannot be detected for time periods that extend beyond a typical PET radioisotope half-life, and generally PET is not useful for longitudinal studies. In order to gain a  
30 fundamental understanding of cellular processes, new ways to visualize the population dynamics of specific cell types *in vivo* must be developed.

Magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) is a widely used clinical diagnostic tool because it is non-invasive, allows views into optically opaque subjects, and provides contrast among soft tissues at reasonably high spatial resolution. Conventional MRI focuses almost exclusively on visualizing anatomy and has no specificity for any particular cell type. The 'probe' used by conventional MRI is the ubiquitous proton ( $^1\text{H}$ ) in mobile water molecules. New classes of exogenous MRI probes or reagents are needed to facilitate cell-specific imaging in living subjects.


## SUMMARY

In certain aspects, the disclosure provides novel methods and reagents for labeling cells *ex vivo* with an imaging reagent, such as a fluorocarbon imaging reagent, that can be detected by a nuclear magnetic resonance technique. Labeled cells may be administered to a subject and subsequently detected by nuclear magnetic resonance techniques. Examples of nuclear magnetic resonance techniques include magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) and localized magnetic resonance spectroscopy (MRS). Because nuclear magnetic resonance techniques are generally performed as non-invasive procedures, the labeled cells may be detected at one or more time points in a living subject. Labeled cells may also be detected in a cell culture or in essentially any other milieu on which a nuclear magnetic resonance technique can be performed, such as tissue explants, organs and tissues removed from a subject (possibly prior to transplant into a transplant recipient), artificially generated tissues and various matrices and structures seeded with cells.


In certain aspects, the disclosure provides methods for labeling a cell. Such methods may include contacting the cell *ex vivo* with a fluorocarbon imaging reagent under conditions such that the fluorocarbon imaging reagent becomes associated with the cell. Perfluoropolyethers (PFPEs) are examples of suitable fluorocarbon imaging reagents. Perfluoropolyethers may be linear or cyclic (e.g., perfluoro-crown ethers). Optionally, the cell may be contacted with the fluorocarbon imaging reagent in the presence of a reagent that enhances uptake of the fluorocarbon imaging reagent. Cationic lipids are an example of a suitable uptake enhancing reagent; other such reagents are described herein and are, in view

of this specification, known in the art. While a fluorocarbon imaging reagent may be internalized by a cell, it may also associate with the extracellular surface of a cell. Association with an extracellular surface may be increased by conjugating the imaging reagent to a cellular targeting moiety. A cellular targeting moiety may be essentially any molecular entity that binds to the desired cells, such as an antibody that binds to an epitope that is exposed to the extracellular milieu. Uptake of an imaging reagent into a cell may be increased by conjugating the imaging reagent to an internalization moiety. An internalization moiety is any molecular entity that stimulates or promotes entry of the imaging reagent into the cell. Examples include internalizing peptides and moieties that bind to receptors or other cell surface proteins that are internalized by, for example, receptor mediated endocytosis. An imaging reagent may be formulated as an emulsion. The cell may be essentially any cell, including prokaryotic and eukaryotic cells. In preferred embodiments, the cell is a mammalian cell. In certain embodiments the cell is a cell of the immune system, such as a dendritic cell. A cell may also be a stem cell or a cell that has been prepared for administration to a subject as part of a cellular therapy or a transplant, such as a peripheral blood stem cell transplant or bone marrow transplant.

In certain aspects, the disclosure provides fluorocarbon imaging reagents. Preferred fluorocarbon imaging reagents have one or more of the following properties: tolerable cytotoxicity; a  $^{19}\text{F}$  NMR spectrum that is simple, ideally having a single, narrow resonance to minimize chemical shift artifacts; a large number of NMR-equivalent fluorine atoms per molecule; and suitability for formulation to permit efficient labeling of many cell types. Preferred fluorocarbon imaging reagents include, linear or cyclic perfluoroethers (e.g., perfluoro-crown ethers). Preferred perfluoro-crown ethers include perfluoro-15-crown-5, perfluoro-18-crown-6 and perfluoro-12-crown-4. In certain embodiments, the fluorocarbon imaging reagent is a perfluorinated polyether having an average formula:



wherein Y is selected from the group consisting of:



wherein n is an integer from 8 to 20; wherein X and Z are the same and are selected from the group consisting of perfluoroalkyls, perfluoroethers, fluoroalkyls terminated with fluoroacyl, carboxyl, amide or ester, methylols, acid chlorides, amides, amidines, acrylates and esters. In a particularly preferred embodiment, n is 10-12, most preferably 11. In a further embodiment, X and/or Z are polyethers that are terminated with a group (e.g. a carboxyl group) that facilitates the addition of further moieties. Optionally, the imaging reagent comprises an additional functional moiety. The additional functional moiety may be a detection moiety that facilitates detection of the reagent by a technique other than a nuclear magnetic resonance technique. Examples of detection moieties include fluorescent detection moieties and PET detection moieties. Accordingly, the disclosure provides linear fluorocarbons derivatized at one or more polymer ends with at least one functional moiety, wherein the at least one functional moiety is selected from the group consisting of: a detection moiety, a hydrophilic moiety, a targeting moiety and a cellular uptake moiety. The incorporation of a detection moiety creates a dual (or higher order) labeling moiety that facilitates detection by more than one technique (e.g., PET and MRI or fluorescence microscopy and MRS). Optionally, an imaging reagent may be formulated as an emulsion. Preferred emulsions will be stable at body temperature (37°C for humans) and at a storage temperature, such as 4°C or room temperature (20-25°C). Preferably an emulsion is designed to facilitate uptake of the imaging reagent by the subject cells. An emulsion may have an average particle size of between 10 and 500 nm in diameter (meaning that the emulsion may contain particles smaller than 10 nm in diameter or larger than 500 nm in diameter, but having an arithmetical mean particle diameter falling between 10 and 500 nm, as



calculated by methods known in the art). Preferably the average particle diameter of the emulsion will be between 30 and 300 nm or between 30 and 200 nm. In certain aspects, the invention provides methods for detecting a cell in a subject. A method may comprise: administering to the subject a cell that is labeled with a fluorocarbon  
5 imaging reagent and examining at least a portion of the subject by a nuclear magnetic resonance technique. Such analysis may include MRI or MRS, which may include collecting data for and generating an image of  $^{19}\text{F}$  distribution. Imaging may also include collecting data for and generating a conventional anatomical  $^1\text{H}$  image. In a preferred embodiment,  $^{19}\text{F}$  and  $^1\text{H}$  images are generated and compared,  
10 optionally by superposition or overlay. Optionally, labeled cells may be detected using  $^{19}\text{F}$  MRS. In a preferred embodiment a conventional anatomical  $^1\text{H}$  image is used as a template to guide the positions of one or more localized voxels for  $^{19}\text{F}$  MRS.

In some aspects, the invention provides labeled cellular formulations. A  
15 labeled cellular formulation for administration to a subject may comprise a cell; and a fluorocarbon imaging reagent that is associated with the cell, and optionally a pharmaceutically acceptable excipient.

As will be apparent from this disclosure, methods described herein will be useful in a variety of clinical procedures. For example, the disclosure provides  
20 methods for detecting donor cells in a recipient, such as a transplant recipient or a recipient of other types of cell-based therapy. Such a method may comprise administering cells for transplant to a transplant recipient, at least a portion of which cells for transplant are labeled with a fluorocarbon imaging reagent; and examining at least a portion of the subject by a nuclear magnetic resonance technique, thereby  
25 detecting the labeled cells. Detection of the labeled cells may be done once or repeatedly and may be performed so as to provide information about the location and trafficking of labeled cells in the transplant recipient. Examples of cell recipients include recipients of bone marrow transplants (or cellular fractions containing hematopoietic stem cells, commonly but not exclusively derived from  
30 bone marrow, peripheral blood or cord blood) and other cell or organ transplant recipients. Organ transplant recipients include recipients of donor organs such as liver, heart, lung, kidney, pancreatic tissue, neural tissue or other transplants.

Recipients also include recipients of donor cells, which may be derived directly from a donor (in the case of autologous cells, the “donor” is the same individual as the recipient) or subjected to limited or extensive culturing prior to use. Donor cells may be derived from essentially any tissue that serves as a source of useful cells, and  
5 may include stem cells (including precursor cells), such as hematopoietic stem cells, hemangioblasts, hepatic stem cells, neural stem cells, muscle stem cells (e.g. satellite cells), cardiomyocyte precursor cells, pancreatic stem cells, vascular endothelial precursor cells, mesenchymal stem cells, bone or cartilage precursor cells, or may include mature cells, such as dendritic cells, immune cells (e.g., T cells, B cells),  
10 chondrocytes, osteoblasts, and the like. Cells for administration may be autologous, heterologous or even derived from another organism, such as a pig. Other aspects of the present invention will be apparent from the disclosure below.

#### BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

15 **Figure 1.**  $^{19}\text{F}$  NMR confirms PFPE labeling in a variety of cell types Panel (a) shows a  $^{19}\text{F}$  NMR spectrum at 282 MHz of PFPE labeled DCs (right peak). Also shown is a reference compound, trifluoroacetic acid (left). The PFPE compound, comprised of perfluoro-15-crown-5 ether, is shown on the upper-right. Panel (b) shows  $^{19}\text{F}$  NMR spectra for rat 9L gliosarcoma cells, T-cells, and macrophages  
20 labeled with PFPE. Thus many different cells types can readily be labeled with PFPE with comparable efficiency. Data in (b) were measured using similar labeling and measurement procedures as (a).

**Figure 2.** *In vitro* MRI of capillary tubes containing PFPE-labeled DCs (DCs+PFPE) and unlabeled DCs (cont). Each 1 mm-diameter capillary tube  
25 contained  $3 \times 10^6$  cells in PBS. On the left is a conventional  $^1\text{H}$  image, and on the right is a  $^{19}\text{F}$  image. The capillary tubes are embedded in agarose. Both images were acquired using a standard 2DFT spin-echo pulse sequence in an 11.7 T MRI system. The  $^1\text{H}$  image was acquired with  $256 \times 256$  image points,  $\sim 25 \mu\text{m}$  in-plane resolution, and 1 mm slice thickness, and the  $^{19}\text{F}$  was acquired with  $64 \times 64$  points,  $100 \mu\text{m}$  in-  
30 plane resolution, and a 1mm-thick slice.

**Figure 3.** *In vivo* MRI of mouse quadriceps after intramuscular injection of PFPE-labeled DCs. Shown (from left to right) are  $^{19}\text{F}$  and  $^1\text{H}$  coronal images and a composite  $^{19}\text{F}+^1\text{H}$  image. The  $^{19}\text{F}$  image is displayed on a ‘hot-iron’ intensity scale, and in the composite image (right)  $^{19}\text{F}$  is rendered semi-transparent. The  $^1\text{H}$  image was acquired with 256x256 image points, ~50  $\mu\text{m}$  in-plane resolution, and a 1 mm slice thickness, and the  $^{19}\text{F}$  was acquired with 64x64 points, ~200  $\mu\text{m}$  in-plane resolution, and a 1 mm-thick slice. The scale bar in the lower right corner is 5 mm. The mouse was anesthetized, intubated, and placed on a ventilator during the imaging session.

**Figure 4.** *In vivo* MRI of DC migration into the mouse popliteal lymph node following hindfoot pad injection. PFPE-labeled DCs ( $3 \times 10^6$ ) were injected ~4 hours earlier. The left leg clearly shows an accumulation of labeled DCs in the ankle as well as a location consistent with the popliteal node. These coronal images were acquired and processed in a similar manner as described in Figure 3. The  $^1\text{H}$  image was acquired with 256x256 image points, ~50  $\mu\text{m}$  in-plane resolution, and 1.25 mm slice thickness. The  $^{19}\text{F}$  image was acquired with 128x64 points, ~200  $\mu\text{m}$  in-plane resolution, and a 1.25 mm-thick slice.

**Figure 5.** *In vivo* oblique slice through the abdomen of a mouse that was inoculated with PFPE-labeled DCs. The  $^{19}\text{F}$  image is shown in hot-iron pseudo-color scale and is overlaid onto a grayscale anatomical  $^1\text{H}$  image. Cells are apparent in the liver, spleen, and possibly the lungs. This image was acquired approximately 4 hours after  $10 \times 10^6$  labeled DCs were injected via the tail vein. Images were acquired using similar methods and parameters as described in Fig. 4.

**Figure 6.** Phenotypic FACS analysis of PFPE labeled (thick line) and unlabeled (thin line) bone marrow (BM) derived DCs. BM cells were grown in GM for 4 days and were either matured in the presence of  $\text{TNF-}\alpha$  +  $\text{PGE}_2$  (upper panels) or not (lower panels). Cells were stained with CD11c-PE (DC marker) and the indicated FITC-conjugated Abs. Results represent histograms of cells gated for CD11c expression. The shaded histograms represent the controls.

**Figure 7.** Electron micrograph of PFPE-labeled DC. Shown is a representative labeled DC at low magnification (a) and at higher magnification (b). In (a),

numerous light spots (PFPE particles) are observed inside the cell that are not observed in unlabeled cells (data not shown). In (b), particles appear as smooth spheroids. The perimeter of the particle is stained by the osmium. The particle diameters are ~100-200 nm. The scale bars represent 1  $\mu$ m in (a) and 50 nm in (b).

5 **Figure 8.** The effective intracellular PFPE concentration (solid squares) and total cell number (open circles) as a function of time after DC labeling. The retention of the PFPE particles persists for many days *in vitro*. At each time-point a single tissue culture well was harvested and  $1 \times 10^6$  cells were counted; these were then pelleted and assayed with  $^{19}\text{F}$  NMR. The left axis shows the integrated area under the  $^{19}\text{F}$   
10 NMR peak normalized to a reference compound (trifluoroacetic acid) measured at the same time; the normalized signal is directly related to PFPE concentration. The right axis shows the total cell number in the plate. Note that at ~2 days the cells in the plate become confluent and then there is a net cell loss at later times. Concurrently, the  $^{19}\text{F}$  signal initially decreases as expected due to dilution as a result  
15 of cell division; after the cells become overgrown and begin to die the remaining cells take up any excess PFPE in the media and the net  $^{19}\text{F}$  per cell increases.

**Figure 9.** PFPE concentration in DCs measured by  $^{19}\text{F}$  NMR as a function of Lipofectamine added. The maximum increase in PFPE uptake is observed to be ~26-fold. All data was acquired after the same (4 hour) incubation period.

20 **Figure 10.** Cytotoxicity of PFPE-labeled DCs measured by G6PD enzyme release. Shown are cells at 0, 18, and 24 hours post-labeling and controls. The incubation conditions (left to right) are all cells lysed (i.e. mimicking 100% toxicity), nothing added (untreated), Lipofectamine added (Lipo), PFPE added (PFPE), and PFPE+Lipofectamine added (PFPE+Lipo). Values are given as the mean  $\pm$  SEM  
25 (standard error of the mean) for N=8.

**Figure 11.** Proliferation of PFPE-labeled DCs assayed by MTT (a) and dsDNA (b). Cells were incubated for 3 hours at 37  $^{\circ}\text{C}$  with (left to right) nothing added (unlabeled), Lipofectamine added (Lipo), PFPE added (PFPE), PFPE+Lipofectamine added (PFPE+Lipo). Values are given as the mean  $\pm$  SEM  
30 (standard error of the mean) for N=16.

**Figure 12.** Molecular structure of linear PFPE ester (a) and  $^{19}\text{F}$  NMR spectrum of the emulsions made from this molecule (b). The linear PFPE (a) has an average of 48 F atoms. The NMR shows one main peak at -92 ppm from the internal CF<sub>2</sub>-groups and two much smaller peaks from the end groups. The unemulsified bulk PFPE compound has an identical NMR spectrum (data not shown). The  $^{19}\text{F}$  NMR data were acquired at 282 MHz.

**Figure 13.** Electron microscopy of linear PFPE emulsion particles (a) and histogram of particle sizes (b). From (b), the mean particle size is  $42 \pm 19$  nm (N=133). The emulsions were prepared by spraying onto carbon-coated grids, air dried and imaged. The scale bar = 100 nm.

**Figure 14.** Illustrations of a linear PFPE imaging reagent and example reactions for generating derivatives.

## DETAILED DESCRIPTION

### 1. Overview

In certain aspects, the invention provides novel methods and reagents for labeling cells *ex vivo* with a nuclear magnetic resonance imaging reagent, such as a fluorocarbon imaging reagent. Labeled cells may then be detected by a  $^{19}\text{F}$  nuclear magnetic resonance technique (e.g., MRI/MRS).  $^{19}\text{F}$  nuclear magnetic resonance techniques are excellent imaging tools for biological systems because of the absence of endogenous background signals. Fluorine is present, if at all, at exceedingly low levels in living organisms, and generally not in a chemical form that is detectable by liquid-state nuclear magnetic resonance techniques. This is quite distinct from conventional  $^1\text{H}$  MRI which, while providing visualization of fine anatomical detail, does not permit selective detection of particular cell populations. Certain methods disclosed herein permit whole or partial body screening to visualize the distribution of labeled cells in a living subject. The precise anatomical location of labeled cells detected by  $^{19}\text{F}$  nuclear magnetic resonance may be determined by, for example, superimposition of a  $^1\text{H}$  MRI image that provides anatomical detail. In preferred embodiments, the  $^1\text{H}$  image is acquired during the same imaging session as the  $^{19}\text{F}$

image (without moving the subject) to ensure registration. Additionally, the nuclear magnetic resonance techniques disclosed herein may be applied effectively in *ex vivo* contexts, as in the case of tissue samples, excised organs and cell cultures. The imaging technology disclosed herein may be applied to a large number of biological and medical problems.

It certain aspects, a method of the invention may comprise labeling cells *ex vivo* with a  $^{19}\text{F}$  imaging reagent, administering the labeled cells to a subject, and detecting labeled cells in the subject. The cells to be labeled may be a crude cellular fraction or tissue sample, or the cells may be cultured and/or subjected to enrichment prior to labeling. For example, particular cell types may be selected by fluorescence activated cell sorting (FACS) prior to labeling. Other sorting or selective enrichment methods are known in the art for the various different cell types that may be of interest. The types of cells that are labeled may also be controlled by the nature of the imaging reagent. For example, simple colloidal suspensions of imaging reagent will tend to be taken up more quickly by cells with phagocytic activity. As another example, an imaging reagent may be formulated with or covalently bound to a targeting moiety that facilitates selective targeting of the imaging reagent to a particular population of cells. Imaging reagents are described further below. After labeling, cells may be immediately administered or the cells may be stored, further cultured, purified, enriched, segregated or processed in any way that is not incompatible with the intended use of such cells.

In certain aspects, labeled cells will be administered for a therapeutic purpose. Technology described herein may be used for monitoring the trafficking of cellular therapeutics *in vivo* or in any other desired milieu, such as a tissue explant. Bone marrow cell transplants have been widely used for many years in recipients of ablative therapies for cancers. Various purified cell populations have also been used in place of bone marrow, such as cell populations enriched for hematopoietic stem cells; for example cells may be harvested from umbilical cord blood or peripheral blood. After entering the bloodstream, the stem cells generally travel to the bone marrow, where they begin to produce new white blood cells, red blood cells, and platelets. This engraftment usually occurs within about 2 to 4 weeks after transplantation. Traditionally, engraftment is monitored by testing blood counts on

a frequent basis, and complete recovery of immune function generally requires several months (for autologous transplant recipients) to years (for patients receiving allogeneic or syngeneic transplants). Cell sampling by bone marrow aspiration can provide further information on the function of the transplanted cells. These  
5 monitoring techniques may be enhanced by *ex vivo* labeling the cells to be transplanted (or some small fraction of such cells), thus permitting non-invasive monitoring of the location and movement of transplanted cells by nuclear magnetic resonance techniques. Non-myeloablative allogeneic transplantation (i.e. reduced-intensity transplant) is a similar cell therapy that can be effective for treating several  
10 types of cancer. Generally, this technique relies on a lower dose of radiation and/or chemotherapeutic and a limited graft-versus-host disease (the action of immune cells from the transplant against any residual host cancer cells) to provide sufficient anti-cancer activity, as well as the hematopoietic potential of the graft cells to restore the patient's hematopoietic system. As with a traditional ablative graft, the techniques  
15 of the present invention may be used to monitor the locations and movements of graft cells in a non-myeloablative allogeneic transplantation.

Cellular therapeutics are also in development for use in the delivery of therapeutic proteins. In one embodiment, cells can be isolated, grown in quantity *ex vivo* and then implanted to produce and secrete soluble factors, which may be active  
20 either locally (e.g. enzymes, cytokines, and neurotransmitters) or at a distance (e.g. hormones and growth regulators). Cells may also be administered to a patient in order to accomplish complex therapeutic purposes, such as reconstitution of tissues, organs, or immune responses based on their ability to home to specific sites within the body, exit from the circulation, and integrate into surrounding tissue or  
25 differentiate to replace damaged tissue. Stem cell therapies have also been proposed for myriad diseases including neurological disorders, particularly those characterized by cell death (e.g., Parkinson's disease, stroke and brain injury caused by trauma), cardiovascular disorders (e.g., myocardial infarction), muscle regeneration (e.g., in patients suffering from cachexia or other wasting disorders), pancreatic regeneration  
30 in diabetes, liver regeneration, etc. In each instance, cells, or a sub-population thereof, may be labeled with an imaging reagent *ex vivo* prior to administration, thus allowing the monitoring of these cells *in vivo*. *In vivo* monitoring by a nuclear

magnetic resonance technique may be useful, for example, to evaluate the viability of the administered cells. A doctor may tailor a dosing schedule depending on the degree to which labeled cells are detected in a patient after administration. *In vivo* monitoring may also be useful in determining whether therapeutic cells have  
5 localized to a desired location. In general, it will be possible to investigate correlations between the migration behavior of therapeutic cells *in vivo*, as well as the number and/or survivorship of therapeutic cells *in vivo*, and therapeutic outcomes. When such correlations have been established, the *in vivo* imaging of therapeutic cells may be used as a prognostic indicator that may be helpful in  
10 selecting the appropriate dosage, administration modes and additional therapeutic interventions that will benefit the patient. Certain imaging advances of the invention will benefit a broad range of cellular therapeutic strategies because these imaging methodologies will be able to detect *when*, *where* and *if* the therapeutic cells have been delivered to the desired targets *in vivo*.

15 One example of an application of technology disclosed herein is in tracking dendritic cells (DCs). DCs are known to be the most efficient antigen presenting cells and have the capacity to stimulate naive T cells to initiate an immune response. Because DCs are the most potent stimulators of immune response in the body, DCs represent a possible therapeutic approach to increasing the “visibility” of tumors to a  
20 patient’s immune system. DCs are the focus of tumor vaccines in development. Varying methods are used to expose the dendritic cells to tumor antigens *ex vivo*, after which educated dendritic cells are reinfused to stimulate development of T-cell mediated tumor killing. In the Examples, below, applicants present data applying an embodiment of the present invention to the labeling and tracking of DCs.

25 In certain aspects, labeled cells are administered to a subject for non-therapeutic purposes. For example, cells may be labeled *ex vivo*, administered to a subject and then detected, with the expectation that the labeled cells will behave similarly to like, unlabeled cells *in vivo* and may therefore be used to monitor the behavior of endogenous cell populations. Monitoring may be used for the purpose  
30 of tracking movements of cells, particularly in the case of cells that are known to be highly mobile, such as cells of the immune system, many types of stem cells and blood born cells. Monitoring may also be used for the purpose of tracking viability



or adherence of non-mobile cells at the site of implant. Cells of many tissues, such as muscle, liver, pancreas, kidney, brain or skin will tend to be relatively stationary, but disappearance of label may indicate a high death rate, low adherence, or other information. Modern cell culture and sorting techniques allow the selective pooling and labeling of virtually any desired cell population, including various stem cell types, immune cell types, and other blood cell types.

As an example, labeled immune cells may be used as detectable proxies for the movements of immune cells in a patient. Immune cells participate in and are markers for a host of inflammatory and autoimmune disorders, as well as cancer and atherosclerotic plaque formation. As a general methodology, any process involving the recruitment of immune cells may be detected in a patient by administering to the patient labeled immune cells. The accumulation of label in a particular area provides an indication of the degree of immune response occurring in that portion of the body. Traditionally, these types of studies involve histological techniques that are incompatible with living subjects. Certain methods of the invention may facilitate the development of therapeutic strategies for the treatment of human diseases. The ability to track selected populations of immune cells non-invasively, and without the use of radioisotopes, can impact many areas of basic and clinical immunology, such as multiple sclerosis, diabetes, monitoring organ transplant rejection, and cancer. For instance, tumors are often highly infiltrated by immune cells. Labeled cells may be imaged in a subject to reveal the location of a tumor, and in some instances may be useful as a non-invasive detection screen. Early detection of cancers has been a critical problem, as most early stage cancers are readily treated by surgery without resort to debilitating chemotherapeutic agents. Likewise, the progress of other inflammatory diseases may be monitored by tracking the dynamics of immune cells in the patient. The effectiveness of immunosuppressant therapy may be assessed as well. In the instance of an organ transplant recipient, the recipient could receive a dose of labeled immune cells prior to receiving the transplantation. *In vivo* monitoring of the accumulation of immune cells in the transplant could then be used as an early warning sign of rejection. In the case of transplants, the methods disclosed herein are particularly desirable

because the alternative, biopsies, are well-known to increase the risk of organ rejection.

As an additional example, cells for use in a bone marrow cell transplant, or a peripheral blood stem cell transplant, may be labeled *ex vivo* as described herein, administered, and monitored *in vivo* by a nuclear magnetic resonance technique. Such monitoring may be used to evaluate the engraftment of donor cells in the recipient bone cavities, as well as survivorship and movement of labeled cells in the recipient. A physician can use information relating to the trafficking of donor cells in a recipient as an early indication of the likely success or failure of the procedure. This type of early detection will allow physicians to tailor the post-transplant therapeutic regimen accordingly. Another cellular cancer therapeutic where the detection technology can be applied is the allogeneic non-myeloablative, or reduced intensity transplant. This procedure may be used with a donor lymphocyte infusion to boost graft-versus-tumor effect which destroys cancer cells. Here the entire population, or a fraction, of transplanted cells could be labeled before infusion. A nuclear magnetic resonance technique could then be used determine where the cells traffic to in the body, which can be indicative of the efficacy of the procedure. As it is often desirable to limit the dose of allogeneic cells to minimize rejection, the cell's trafficking pattern may be used to calibrate dose. In the above cancer cell therapies it may be desirable to selectively label one or more sub-population of the transplanted cells (e.g., CD34+ stem cells or T-cells) that are believed to have therapeutic efficacy.

As a further example, cells involved in formation of new tissue, such as in angiogenesis, can be labeled, administered to a subject, and detected to identify hotspots of tissue formation. For example, smooth muscle cells and/or endothelial precursor cells may be labeled and introduced into the bloodstream. Such cells are expected to accumulate at sites of angiogenic activity. Angiogenic activity may be associated with physiological and pathological events such as menstrual cycling, early pregnancy, collateral vessel formation in response to arterial blockages, tumor development and wound healing. Similarly, cells involved in wound healing, such as fibroblasts, may be labeled and administered systemically or to a site of suspected injury in order to monitor cellular behavior.

In certain instances, cells may prove to be so thoroughly associated with a biological site or structure of interest that the labeled cells may be administered for the sole purpose of aiding in the visualization of such a structure. As mentioned above, immune cells characteristically infiltrate tumors. Accordingly, labeled  
5 immune cells may be administered for the purpose of visualizing tumors.

Technology disclosed herein may be applied to studies of animal models of human diseases. Various animal models of diseases may evince altered dynamics or survival of one or more cell populations. Such cell populations may be labeled, administered to the animal and monitored. For example, the infiltration of immune  
10 cells into the pancreas of the NOD mouse model for diabetes may be monitored. Other examples of animal models include: experimental allergic encephalomyelitis (multiple sclerosis model), gliosarcoma tumor models, and organ-transplant rejection. By tracking phenotypically-defined populations of immune cells in these models, one can elucidate aspects of the disease etiology and monitor how cell  
15 trafficking is affected by therapeutics. This method may be used, for example, to screen for drugs that have a desired effect in an animal model. A drug screening assay may comprise administering labeled cells to an animal and detecting the cells *in vivo* in the presence of a test agent. Changes in cell behavior that are correlated with the presence of the test agent may be indicative of a therapeutic effect. Such  
20 changes may be detected by comparison to a suitable reference, including, for example, the same animal before and after treatment with the test agent or a separate, untreated animal. In addition to a test agent, the methods may be used to evaluate the effects of test conditions, such as an exercise regimen, injury, genetic alteration, etc. As an example, it is expected that a drug for treatment of an  
25 autoimmune disease would decrease the tendency of immune cells to accumulate in an affected tissue. In addition to steady state evaluations, methods disclosed herein may be used to evaluate kinetic properties of cells, such as the rate at which cells arrive at a particular site and the time of signal persistence at a site. Drug screening assays may be particularly powerful when combined with *in vivo* monitoring of  
30 tightly defined cell populations, such as certain groups of immune cells that are implicated in various disorders. For example, monitoring of labeled cytotoxic T cells may be particularly useful in identifying drugs that may be useful in preventing

transplant rejection. The ability to monitor cells *in vivo* provides a powerful new assay that may be applied to the analysis of essentially any experimental animal, including, for example, any of the various transgenic or otherwise mutant mice that have been generated.

5           Several groups have studied labeling and visualizing immune cells using MRI contrast agents. Other researchers have used MRI contrast agents to label cell types such as stem cells and neuronal precursors. The majority of these studies render the cells magnetically-distinct via the incorporation superparamagnetic iron-oxide (SPIO) agents. Cells labeled with contrast agents incorporating other types of  
10 metal ions, particularly gadolinium and manganese have also been used. In studies utilizing these metal-ion based agents, the compounds are not directly imaged; instead, one observes their indirect effect on surrounding waters. The presence of the agent tends to shorten the relaxation times ( $T_1$ ,  $T_2$ , or  $T_2^*$ ) of water in proximity to the compound; these effects can be detected in relaxation time-weighted images.  
15 SPIO agents, for example, impart contrast to conventional  $^1\text{H}$  images by locally perturbing the magnetic field experienced by the nearby mobile water molecules, which in turn modulates  $T_1$ ,  $T_2$ , or  $T_2^*$ . Methods described herein are distinctly different from methods using metal ion based contrast agents because signals from  $^{19}\text{F}$  nuclei in the imaging reagents may be directly detected and, optionally, imaged.

20           An inherent drawback to detecting labeled cells using metal-ion based contrast agents is that one is often in a situation where it is necessary to interpret subtle changes in grayscale contrast in regions that are believed to contain labeled cells. The large  $^1\text{H}$  background signal from the high concentration of mobile water present in tissues can make it difficult to unambiguously identify regions containing  
25 labeled cells; this is especially problematic if the labeled cell biodistribution is not known *a priori*. The results of a ‘snapshot’ image are often ambiguous as to whether labeled cells are present in a specific tissue. This is a particularly vexing problem when trying to detect SPIO labeled cells in iron-laden organs that intrinsically appear dark in anatomical ( $T_2$ -or  $T_2^*$ -weighted) images, such as in the  
30 liver or the spleen. Often one must resort to detecting the time-lapse image intensity changes in a particular organ over a period of several hours to verify that labeled cells have accumulated.

Thus the methods and compositions disclosed herein provide much needed tools in the fields of medicine and biology.

## 2. Imaging reagents and Formulations

5       The imaging reagent used in the subject methods is a fluorocarbon, i.e., a molecule including at least one carbon-fluorine bond. By virtue of the  $^{19}\text{F}$  atoms, the imaging reagents disclosed herein may be detected by  $^{19}\text{F}$  MRI and other nuclear magnetic resonance techniques, such as MRS techniques. In certain preferred  
10       embodiments, a fluorocarbon imaging reagent will have one or more of the following properties: 1) tolerable cytotoxicity; 2) a  $^{19}\text{F}$  NMR spectrum that is simple, ideally having a single, narrow resonance to minimize chemical shift artifacts; 3) high sensitivity with a large number of NMR-equivalent fluorine atoms in each molecule; 4) formulated to permit efficient labeling of many cell types and not restricted to phagocytic cells.

15       Exemplary compounds include aryl or heteroaryl trifluoromethyl sulfonic acid esters (triflates) or sulfonamides (triflamides), esters of fluorinated alcohols (such as 2,2,2-trifluoroethanol, perfluoro-*tert*-butanol, and 2,2,3,3,3-pentafluoropropanol), esters and amides of perfluoroalkanoic acids (such as trifluoroacetic acid, perfluorotetradecanoic acid, and nonafluoropentanoic acid),  
20       ethers of perfluoroalkanes, and the like. Preferably, the imaging reagent comprises a plurality of fluorines bound to carbon, e.g., greater than 5, greater than 10, greater than 15 or greater than 20 fluorines bound to carbon. Preferably, at least 4, at least 8, at least 12 or at least 16 of the fluorines have a roughly equivalent NMR chemical shift.

25       In certain embodiments, the imaging reagent is a perfluoro crown ether, such as perfluoro-15-crown-5, perfluoro-18-crown-6, perfluoro-12-crown-4, etc., also referred to herein as cyclic perfluoropolyethers (cyclic PFPEs). Such compounds are advantageous in that the  $^{19}\text{F}$  nuclei of these molecules will have similar or identical NMR resonances, resulting in a higher signal-to-noise ratio image with a  
30       reduction in or absence of chemical-shift image artifacts. The macrocycle perfluoro-


15-crown-5 ether has particularly preferable characteristics. It is neither lipophilic nor hydrophilic, which is typical for perfluoropolyethers, and is emulsified into aqueous solution. Typical emulsions are small particulates (~10-500 nm diameter) that are stable in aqueous solution and can be taken up by cells. One of skill in the art will recognize, that other fluorinated compounds will have desirable properties, particularly those fluorinate compounds in which each fluorine atom is in a similar chemical environment. Esters of perfluoro-*tert*-butanol, 1,3,5-tris(trifluoromethyl)benzene, hexafluoroacetone, poly(trifluoromethylethylene), and perfluorocyclohexane are examples of compounds having multiple fluorine atoms with  $^{19}\text{F}$  resonances that have the same, or nearly the same, Larmor frequencies.

In certain embodiments, the imaging reagent is a polymer. In certain embodiments, the imaging reagent is or includes a linear perfluoropolyether (linear PFPE), e.g., a compound having a structure or portion thereof comprising repeated units of  $-\text{[O-CF}_2(\text{CF}_2)_x\text{CF}_2]_n-$ , where  $x$  is an integer from 0 to 10, preferably from 0-3, and  $n$  is an integer from 2 to 100, preferably from 4 to 40. Perfluorinated linear polyethylene oxide, for example, can be obtained from Exfluor Corp. (Round Rock, TX). Either or both ends (or a plurality of ends, in the case of branched polymers) may be derivatized with a moiety that provides an additional desired functionality. For example, an imaging reagent may have a formula of A-B-C, where A and/or C may be a functional moiety and B comprises repeated units of  $-\text{[O-CF}_2(\text{CF}_2)_x\text{CF}_2]_n-$ , where  $x$  is an integer from 0 to 10, preferably from 0-3, and  $n$  is an integer from 2 to 100, preferably from 4 to 40. Functional moieties (e.g., non-fluorinated monomers conferring a particular desired function) are discussed further below.

A linear perfluoropolyether may also be described as a composition having the average formula:



wherein Y is selected from the group consisting of:



wherein n is an integer from 8 to 30; wherein X and Z are the same and are selected from the group consisting of perfluoroalkyls, perfluoroethers, fluoroalkyls terminated with fluoroacyl, carboxyl, amide or ester, methylols, acid chlorides, amides, amidines, acrylates and esters, as well as any of the preceding derivatized with a functional moiety.

While a completely fluorinated polymer can be formed, for example, by reacting a perfluorinated diacid with a perfluorinated dihalocarbon (such as 1,4-diiodooctafluorobutane), fluorinated monomers can be reacted with other monomers (optionally functional moieties, which may be non-fluorinated) to form hybrid polymers that are useful as imaging reagents. A variety of different non-fluorinated monomers can be used to vary the chemical and physical properties of the overall polymer, and make it possible to tailor the imaging reagent for specific uses. For example, a highly lipophilic imaging reagent may concentrate in adipocytes and other fatty tissues, while a highly hydrophilic imaging reagent may be useful for imaging the circulatory system or the lymph system.

For labeling cells, the imaging reagents can be employed in one or more of at least three modalities: 1) imaging reagents that are internalized or otherwise absorbed by target cells without the formation of any covalent or other binding association; 2) imaging reagents that covalently attach to target cells; and 3) imaging reagents coupled to molecules, such as antibodies or ligands, that bind to molecules present on the target cells.

Imaging reagents of the first type include the perfluoro crown ethers and other PFPEs that are taken up by cells and, preferably, are retained in the cell

without degradation for a substantial period of time, e.g., having a half-life in the cell of at least 1 hour, at least 4 hours, at least about a day, at least about three days, or even at least about a week. For obvious reasons, it is preferred that the imaging reagent not interfere with ordinary cellular functions or exhibit cytotoxicity at the concentrations employed for labeling. As demonstrated herein, perfluoropolyethers show minimal toxic effect on the labeled cells.

Imaging reagents of the second type include electrophilic compounds that react with nucleophilic sites on the cell surface, such as exposed thiol, amino, and/or hydroxyl groups. Accordingly, imaging reagents such as maleimides, alkyl iodides, N-hydroxysuccinimide or N-hydroxysulfosuccinimide esters (NHS or sulfo-NHS esters), acyl succinimides, and the like can form covalent bonds with cell surfaces. Other techniques used in protein coupling can be adapted for coupling imaging reagents to cell surface proteins. See Means et al. (1990) Bioconjugate Chemistry 1:2-12, for additional approaches to such coupling.

Imaging reagents of the third type can be prepared by reacting imaging reagents of the second type not with the cells themselves, but with a functional moiety that is a cell-targeting ligand or antibody. Suitable ligands and antibodies can be selected for the application of interest. For example, a ligand that selectively targets hematopoietic cells could be labeled with an imaging reagent as described herein and administered to a patient, such as by injection..

Alternatively, an imaging reagent can be coupled to an indiscriminate internalizing peptide, such as antepennepedia protein, HIV transactivating (TAT) protein, mastoparan, melittin, bombolittin, delta hemolysin, pardaxin, Pseudomonas exotoxin A, clathrin, Diphtheria toxin, C9 complement protein, or a fragment of any of these. Cells treated with this indiscriminate molecule *ex vivo* will absorb the imaging reagent. When such labeled cells are implanted into an animal, such as a mammal, the imaging reagent can be used to visualize and/or track the implanted cells by nuclear magnetic resonance techniques.

In one embodiment, the internalizing peptide is derived from the drosophila antepennepedia protein, or homologs thereof. The 60-amino acid-long



homeodomain of the homeo-protein antepennepedia has been demonstrated to translocate through biological membranes and can facilitate the translocation of heterologous polypeptides to which it is coupled. See for example Derossi et al. (1994) *J Biol Chem* 269:10444-10450; and Perez et al. (1992) *J Cell Sci* 102:717-722. It has been demonstrated that fragments as small as 16 amino acids long of this protein are sufficient to drive internalization. See Derossi et al. (1996) *J Biol Chem* 271:18188-18193.

Another example of an internalizing peptide is the HIV transactivator (TAT) protein. This protein appears to be divided into four domains (Kuppuswamy et al. (1989) *Nucl. Acids Res.* 17:3551-3561). Purified TAT protein is taken up by cells in tissue culture (Frankel and Pabo, (1989) *Cell* 55:1189-1193), and peptides, such as the fragment corresponding to residues 37 -62 of TAT, are rapidly taken up by cell *in vitro* (Green and Loewenstein, (1989) *Cell* 55:1179-1188). The highly basic region mediates internalization and targeting of the internalizing moiety to the nucleus (Ruben et al., (1989) *J. Virol.* 63:1-8). Peptides or analogs that include a sequence present in the highly basic region, such as CFITKALGISYGRKKRRQRRRPPQGS, can be conjugated to fluorinated imaging reagents to aid in internalization and targeting those reagents to the intracellular milieu.

Another PFPE composition of interest is linear PFPEs derivatized with a variety of end groups. The linear compounds have the advantage that one can conjugate a variety of functional entities to the end groups, such as functional moieties of various types. The  $^{19}\text{F}$  NMR spectra of these linear compounds generally is more complex than the macrocyclic compounds, but a PFPE with two well-separated NMR signals can also be used. In this case it may be desirable to use an MRI pulse sequence that incorporates one or more off-resonance saturation pulses applied to the smaller resonance to eliminate any chemical shift artifacts.

A particularly useful application of linear PFPEs is the synthesis of a “dual mode” agent that can be detected by  $^{19}\text{F}$  nuclear magnetic resonance techniques and includes a detection moiety that facilitates detection by a second detection method.

As an example, a fluorescent moiety attached to the endgroups may be used to generate imaging reagents that can be visualized with  $^{19}\text{F}$  MRI and fluorescence microscopy. A wide range of fluorescent moieties may be used in a dual-mode agent. Many suitable fluorophores are known, including fluorescein, lissamine, phycoerythrin, rhodamine (Perkin Elmer Cetus), Cy2, Cy3, Cy3.5, Cy5, Cy5.5, Cy7, FluorX (Amersham). Fluorescent moieties include derivatives of fluorescein, benzoxadioazole, coumarin, eosin, Lucifer Yellow, pyridyloxazole and rhodamine. These and many other exemplary fluorescent moieties may be found in the Handbook of Fluorescent Probes and Research Chemicals (2000, Molecular Probes, Inc.). Additional fluorescent moieties include fluorescent nanocrystals, such as the “quantum dot” products available from Quantum Dot Corporation (Hayward, Calif.). Such nanocrystals may be constructed with a semiconductor core having an appropriate emission spectrum (e.g., CdS, CdSe, CdTe), a shell composed of a non-emissive transparent and relatively non-reactive material that can be efficiently wed to the underlying core material (e.g., ZnS), and a coating that provides desirable solubility (e.g., for solubility in aqueous, physiological solutions) and possible reactive groups for attachment to a fluorocarbon described herein.

Dual mode imaging reagents that permit fluorescent detection are particularly useful in a variety of applications. For example, fluorescent labeling permits the use of fluorescence-based cell sorting mechanisms, such as Fluorescence Activated Cell Sorting (FACS). Cell sorting may be desirable, for example, to enrich for a population of cells that have been successfully labeled. This may be particularly useful where labeling has been directed to rarer cell populations. Dual mode agents are also useful for finding and characterizing labeled cells after they have been implanted into a living subject. In this application, cells may be biopsied, or by some other means harvested, from the subject after they have resided there for some duration. Biological analysis of the harvested cells can then be performed. For example, FACS analysis can be performed on the harvested cells, where after positively selecting cells for the fluorescent PFPE label, the cells can be assayed for the expression of specific cell surface markers (using a different color fluorescent probe) to investigate any change in cell phenotype that occurred following implantation. Fluorescent labels may also be used for fluorescence microscopy of

cells, particularly using three-dimensional confocal fluorescence microscopy. Fluorescence microscopy will not generally be useful for *in vivo* visualization of deep tissues containing labeled cells, but surface tissues may be visualized as well as tissue samples. Dual labeling will be particularly valuable in calibrating and  
5 validating any new fluorocarbon-based nuclear magnetic resonance labeling method. Results obtained by, for example, MRI/MRS may be compared to those obtained by fluorescence detection, both in cultured labeled cells (biopsied or otherwise) and *in vivo*, to the extent possible. A known fluorescence signal strength per unit molecule may be used to calibrate MRI/MRS measurements.

10 Detection moieties suitable for PET imaging may also be used to create dual mode imaging reagents that are detectable by nuclear magnetic resonance techniques and by PET techniques. For example, the  $^{18}\text{F}$  isotope is a potent label for PET detection methods. A fluorocarbon imaging reagent may comprise a mixture of  $^{18}\text{F}$  and  $^{19}\text{F}$  isotopes, thus providing a dual mode label that is suitable for MRI/MRS and  
15 PET.  $^{18}\text{F}$  and  $^{19}\text{F}$  may also be added in separate monomers to form a mixed copolymer, or  $^{18}\text{F}$  portions may be located at either end of a linear polyether, at the position where most other functional moieties would be added.  $^{18}\text{F}$  has no NMR signal and so may be added at positions that would, for example, tend to decrease NMR linewidth, simplify the NMR spectrum, or alleviate chemical shifts from  
20 resonances that adversely effect the read-out obtained by a nuclear magnetic resonance technique. In addition, molecules of the fluorocarbon imaging reagents can incorporate other radioisotopes that are effective PET probes, such as  $^{11}\text{C}$ ,  $^{15}\text{O}$ , and  $^{13}\text{N}$ . Those skilled in the art can, in view of this specification, devise many other PET-detectable moieties that can be incorporated into or, for example, attached to an  
25 endgroup(s), of the imaging reagents of this invention.

In certain embodiments, a linear perfluoropolyether may be derivatized with a relatively hydrophilic moiety at one, or preferably, both ends. For example, the hydrophilic moiety may be a polyethylene glycol, thus forming a tri-block  
30 copolymer with water-soluble regions on each end and a hydrophobic region in the center. When mixed in an aqueous environment, imaging reagents of this type will tend to form micelles, with the PFPE core surrounded by a water-soluble coat.

Amino-PEG blocks are commercially available with a range of molecular weights. Coupling the PFPE core with other groups, such as aliphatic amines (see, e.g., Figure 14, Rxn 2 and 4a) and phosphatidyl ethanolamine (see, e.g., Figure 14, Rxn 3) in place of the hydrophilic sections, will give derivatives with different solubility characteristics.

In certain embodiments, the invention provides formulations of imaging reagents that are suitable for uptake by cells. Emulsions comprising a fluorocarbon imaging reagent, such as a PFPE, will preferably have a distribution of particle sizes that allow adequate cellular uptake. For example, it will generally be desirable that the mean particle size fall within a range from 10 nm to 500 nm, and preferably a range of from 30 nm to 150 nm or a range of from about 350 to 500 nm. Optionally, 25%, 50%, 75% or more of the particles will also fall within the selected range. Particle sizes may be evaluated by, for example, light scattering techniques or by visualizing the emulsion particles using EM micrographs. In certain cell types that have a relatively small amount of cytoplasm, such as most stem cells, preferred particle sizes will be in the range of 10 – 50 nm in diameter. Emulsions for use in cells should preferably be stable at a wide range of temperatures. For example, it will often be desirable to store the emulsion at a cool temperature, in the range of 2 - 10°C, and preferably 4°C, and then warm the emulsion to room temperature (e.g., 18 to 28°C, and more typically 20 to 25°C). After labeling of cells, the emulsion will experience a temperature of about 37°C. Accordingly, a preferred emulsion will retain the desired range of particle sizes at temperatures ranging from refrigeration temperatures up to body temperature. The surfactant may be designed to form stable emulsions that carry a large quantity of PFPE into the aqueous phase. Additionally, it may have properties that increase the intracellular delivery of the emulsion particles in the shortest possible incubation time. Increasing the PFPE intracellular loading improves sensitivity to the labeled cells. Furthermore, decreasing the incubation time can be important when working with the primary cells cultures because the cell phenotype may evolve over time. The efficiency of intracellular uptake depends on cell type. For example macrophages and dendritic cells will endocytose almost any particulate, whereas other cell types of interest may only be weakly phagocytic. In either case the uptake efficiency can be boosted substantially

by incorporating cationic lipids into the surfactant, by using peptides (e.g. oligo-Arg9 and TAT-like peptides), or by incorporating antibodies that target specific cell surface molecules.

The properties of an emulsion may be controlled primarily by the properties of the imaging reagent itself, the nature of surfactants and/or solvents used, and the processing (e.g., sonication, etc.). Methods for forming PFPE emulsions are extensively described in U.S. Pat. Nos. 5,330,681 and 4,990,283. A continuous phase of a polyhydroxylated compound, such as polyalcohols and saccharides in concentrated aqueous solution may be effective. The following polyalcohols and saccharides have proved to be particularly effective: glycerol, xylitol, mannitol, sorbitol, glucose, fructose, saccharose, maltitol, dimer compounds of glycerol (diglycerol or bis(2,3-di-hydroxypropyl) ether, solid water soluble polyhydroxylated compounds as sugars and glycerol condensation products as triglycerol and tetraglycerol. The dispersion in emulsion may be performed in the presence of conventional surfactants, including cationic, anionic, amphoteric and non-ionic surfactants, with ionic surfactants being preferable. Examples of suitable surfactants include sodium lauryl sulphate, sulphosuccinate (sulphosuccinic hemiester), coco-amphocarboxyglycinate, potassium cetyl phosphate, sodium alkyl-polyoxyethylene-ether carboxylate, potassium benzalconium chloride, alkyl amidopropyl betaine, cetyl-stearilic ethoxylated alcohol, and sorbitan-ethoxylate(20)-mono-oleate Tween 20. While thermodynamic equations may be used to attempt to predict mixtures of imaging reagents that will give emulsions having the desired particle sizes and stability, it is generally accepted that actual testing of various mixtures will be most effective. The emulsification of mixtures is simple and quick, permitting rapid testing of a wide range of combinations to identify those that give rise to emulsions that are suitable for use in the methods disclosed herein.

### 3. Cells and Labeling

Methods described herein may be used with a wide range of cells, including both prokaryotic and eukaryotic cells, and preferably mammalian cells.

Technologies for cell preparation include cell culture, cloning, nuclear transfer, genetic modification and encapsulation.

A partial list of suitable mammalian cells includes: blood cells, myoblasts, bone marrow cells, peripheral blood cells, umbilical cord blood cells, cardiomyocytes (and precursors thereof), chondrocytes (cartilage cells), dendritic cells, fetal neural tissue, fibroblasts, hepatocytes (liver cells), islet cells of pancreas, keratinocytes (skin cells) and stem cells. In certain preferred embodiments, the cells to be used are a fractionated population of immune cells. Recognized subpopulations of immune cells include the lymphocytes, such as B lymphocytes (Fc receptors, MHC class II, CD19+, CD21+), helper T lymphocytes (CD3+, CD4+, CD8-), cytolytic T lymphocytes (CD3+, CD4-, CD8+), natural killer cells (CD16+), the mononuclear phagocytes, including monocytes, neutrophils and macrophages, and dendritic cells. Other cell types that may be of interest include eosinophils and basophils.

Cells may be autologous (i.e., derived from the same individual) or syngeneic (i.e., derived from a genetically identical individual, such as a syngeneic littermate or an identical twin), although allogeneic cells (i.e., cells derived from a genetically different individual of the same species) are also contemplated. Although less preferred, xenogeneic (i.e., derived from a different species than the recipient) cells, such as cells from transgenic pigs, may also be administered. When the donor cells are xenogeneic, it is preferred that the cells are obtained from an individual of a species within the same order, more preferably the same superfamily or family (e.g., when the recipient is a human, it is preferred that the cells are derived from a primate, more preferably a member of the superfamily Hominoidea).

Cells may, where medically and ethically appropriate, be obtained from any stage of development of the donor individual, including prenatal (e.g., embryonic or fetal), infant (e.g., from birth to approximately three years of age in humans), child (e.g., from about three years of age to about 13 years of age in humans), adolescent (e.g., from about 13 years of age to about 18 years of age in humans), young adult (e.g., from about 18 years of age to about 35 years of age in humans), adult (from

about 35 years of age to about 55 years of age in humans) or elderly (e.g., from about 55 years and beyond of age in humans).

In many embodiments, cells are labeled by contacting the cells with an emulsion of the imaging reagent, such that the reagent is taken up by cells. Both  
5 phagocytic and non-phagocytic cells may be labeled by such a method. For example, as demonstrated herein, both dendritic cells (phagocytic) and gliosarcoma cells (non-phagocytic) can be labeled by contacting the cells with an emulsion of the imaging reagent.

In certain embodiments the cells to be labeled are stem cells. Stem cell  
10 therapies are commonly used as part of an ablative regimen for treatment of cancer with high dose radiation and/or chemotherapeutic agents. Ablative regimens generally employ hematopoietic stem cells, or populations of cells containing hematopoietic stem cells, as may be obtained, for example, from peripheral blood, umbilical cord blood or bone marrow. Cells of this type, or a portion thereof, may  
15 be labeled and tracked in vivo to monitor survival and engraftment at the appropriate location. Other types of stem cells are increasingly attractive as therapeutic agents for a wide variety of disorders.

As an example, cells may be mouse embryonic stem cells, or ES cells from another model animal. The labeling of such cells may be useful in tracking the fate  
20 of such cells administered to mice, optionally as part of a preclinical research program for developing embryonic stem cell therapeutics. Examples of mouse embryonic stem cells include: the JM1 ES cell line described in M. Qiu et al., Genes Dev 9, 2523 (1995), and the ROSA line described in G. Friedrich, P. Soriano, Genes Dev 5, 1513 (1991), and mouse ES cells described in US Patent No. 6,190,910.

25 Many other mouse ES lines are available from Jackson Laboratories (Bar Harbor, Maine). Examples of human embryonic stem cells include those available through the following suppliers: Arcos Bioscience, Inc., Foster City, California, CyThera, Inc., San Diego, California, BresaGen, Inc., Athens, Georgia, ES Cell International, Melbourne, Australia, Geron Corporation, Menlo Park, California, Göteborg  
30 University, Göteborg, Sweden, Karolinska Institute, Stockholm, Sweden, Maria

Biotech Co. Ltd. – Maria Infertility Hospital Medical Institute, Seoul, Korea, MizMedi Hospital – Seoul National University, Seoul, Korea, National Centre for Biological Sciences/ Tata Institute of Fundamental Research, Bangalore, India, Pochon CHA University, Seoul, Korea, Reliance Life Sciences, Mumbai, India, 5 Technion University, Haifa, Israel, University of California, San Francisco, California, and Wisconsin Alumni Research Foundation, Madison, Wisconsin. In addition, examples of embryonic stem cells are described in the following U.S. patents and published patent applications: 6,245,566; 6,200,806; 6,090,622; 6,331,406; 6,090,622; 5,843,780; 20020045259; 20020068045. In preferred 10 embodiments, the human ES cells are selected from the list of approved cell lines provided by the National Institutes of Health and accessible at <http://escr.nih.gov>. In certain preferred embodiments, an embryonic stem cell line is selected from the group consisting of: the WA09 line obtained from Dr. J. Thomson (Univ. of Wisconsin) and the UC01 and UC06 lines, both on the current NIH registry.

15 In certain embodiments, a stem cell for use in disclosed methods is a stem cell of neural or neuroendocrine origin, such as a stem cell from the central nervous system (see, for example US Patent Nos. 6,468,794; 6,040,180; 5,753,506; 5,766,948), neural crest (see, for example, US Patent Nos. 5,589,376; 5,824,489), the olfactory bulb or peripheral neural tissues (see, for example, Published US 20 Patent Applications 20030003574; 20020123143; 20020016002 and Gritti et al. 2002 J Neurosci 22(2):437-45), the spinal cord (see, for example, US Patent Nos. 6,361,996, 5,851,832) or a neuroendocrine lineage, such as the adrenal gland, pituitary gland or certain portions of the gut (see, for example, US Patent Nos. 6,171,610 and PC12 cells as described in Kimura et al. 1994 J. Biol. Chem. 269: 25 18961-67). In preferred embodiments, a neural stem cell is obtained from a peripheral tissue or an easily healed tissue, thereby providing an autologous population of cells for transplant.

Hematopoietic or mesenchymal stem cells may be employed in certain disclosed methods. Recent studies suggest that marrow-derived hematopoietic 30 (HSCs) and mesenchymal stem cells (MSCs), which are readily isolated, have a broader differentiation potential than previously recognized. Purified HSCs not only



give rise to all cells in blood, but can also develop into cells normally derived from endoderm, like hepatocytes (Krause et al., 2001, Cell 105: 369-77; Lagasse et al., 2000 Nat Med 6: 1229-34). Similarly, HSCs from peripheral blood and from umbilical cord blood are expected to provide a useful spectrum of developmental potential. MSCs appear to be similarly multipotent, producing progeny that can, for example, express neural cell markers (Pittenger et al., 1999 Science 284: 143-7; Zhao et al., 2002 Exp Neurol 174: 11-20). Examples of hematopoietic stem cells include those described in US Patent Nos. 4,714,680; 5,061,620; 5,437,994; 5,914,108; 5,925,567; 5,763,197; 5,750,397; 5,716,827; 5,643,741; 5,061,620. Examples of mesenchymal stem cells include those described in US Patent Nos. 5,486,359; 5,827,735; 5,942,225; 5,972,703, those described in PCT publication nos. WO 00/53795; WO 00/02654; WO 98/20907, and those described in Pittenger et al. and Zhao et al., supra.

Stem cell lines are preferably derived from mammals, such as rodents (e.g. mouse or rat), primates (e.g. monkeys, chimpanzees or humans), pigs, and ruminants (e.g. cows, sheep and goats), and particularly from humans. In certain embodiments, stem cells are derived from an autologous source or an HLA-type matched source. For example, stem cells may be obtained from a subject in need of pancreatic hormone-producing cells (e.g. diabetic patients in need of insulin-producing cells) and cultured to generate autologous insulin-producing cells. Other sources of stem cells are easily obtained from a subject, such as stem cells from muscle tissue, stem cells from skin (dermis or epidermis) and stem cells from fat.

In some preferred embodiments, cells for administration to a human should be compliant with good tissue practice guidelines set by the U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) or equivalent regulatory agency in another country. Methods to develop such a cell line may include donor testing, and avoidance of exposure to non-human cells and products.

Cells derived from a donor (optionally the patient is the donor) may be administered as unfractionated or fractionated cells, as dictated by the purpose of the cells to be delivered. Cells may be fractionated to enrich for certain cell types prior

to administration. Methods of fractionation are well known in the art, and generally involve both positive selection (i.e., retention of cells based on a particular property) and negative selection (i. e., elimination of cells based on a particular property). As will be apparent to one of skill in the art, the particular properties (e.g., surface  
5 markers) that are used for positive and negative selection will depend on the desired population of cells. Methods used for selection/enrichment of cells may include immunoaffinity technology or density centrifugation methods. Immunoaffinity technology may take a variety of forms, as is well known in the art, but generally utilizes an antibody or antibody derivative in combination with some type of  
10 segregation technology. The segregation technology generally results in physical segregation of cells bound by the antibody and cells not bound by the antibody, although in some instances the segregation technology which kills the cells bound by the antibody may be used for negative selection.

Any suitable immunoaffinity technology may be utilized for  
15 selection/enrichment of the selected cells to be used, including fluorescence-activated cell sorting (FACS), panning, immunomagnetic separation, immunoaffinity chromatography, antibody-mediated complement fixation, immunotoxin, density gradient segregation, and the like. After processing in the immunoaffinity process, the desired cells (the cells bound by the immunoaffinity  
20 reagent in the case of positive selection, and cells not bound by the immunoaffinity reagent in the case of negative selection) are collected and either subjected to further rounds of immunoaffinity selection/enrichment, or reserved for administration to the patient.

Immunoaffinity selection/enrichment is typically carried out by incubating a  
25 preparation of cells comprising the desired cell type with an antibody or antibody-derived affinity reagent (e.g., an antibody specific for a given surface marker), then utilizing the bound affinity reagent to select either for or against the cells to which the antibody is bound. The selection process generally involves a physical separation, such as can be accomplished by directing droplets containing single cells  
30 into different containers depending on the presence or absence of bound affinity reagent (FACS), by utilizing an antibody bound (directly or indirectly) to a solid

phase substrate (panning, immunoaffinity chromatography), or by utilizing a magnetic field to collect the cells which are bound to magnetic particles via the affinity reagent (immunomagnetic separation). Alternately, undesirable cells may be eliminated from the preparation using an affinity reagent which directs a cytotoxic  
5 insult to the cells bound by the affinity reagent. The cytotoxic insult may be activated by the affinity reagent (e.g., complement fixation), or may be localized to the target cells by the affinity reagent (e.g., immunotoxin, such as ricin B chain).

Although it is expected that methods disclosed herein will be frequently used for *in vivo* monitoring of cells, it should be noted that the methodologies are equally  
10 effective for the monitoring of cells in culture, in a tissue sample or other *ex vivo* cellular material. For therapeutic uses, cells may be labeled at a desired step during the preparation for administration to the patient.

A variety of methods may be used to label cells with imaging reagent. In general, cells will be placed in contact with imaging reagent such that the imaging  
15 reagent becomes associated with the cell. Conditions will often be standard cell culture conditions designed to maintain cell viability. The term “associated” is intended to encompass any manner by which the imaging reagent and cell remain in sufficiently close physical proximity for a sufficient amount of time as to allow the imaging reagent to provide useful information about the position of the cell, whether  
20 *in vivo* or *in vitro*. Imaging reagent may be located intracellularly, e.g. after phagocytosis or surfactant mediated entry into the cell. Immune cells, such as dendritic cells, macrophages and T cells are highly phagocytic and data presented herein and in other studies demonstrate that such cells, and other phagocytic cell types, are readily labeled. One study [21] in the experimental allergic  
25 encephalomyelitis (EAE) animal model showed that monocytes, labeled *in situ* following a bolus injection of emulsified PFPE, could be detected in the CNS using <sup>19</sup>F MRI. Other cell types, such as stem cells may also be labeled, regardless of phagocytic activity. Imaging reagent may be inserted into a cell membrane or covalently or non-covalently bound to an extracellular component of the cell. For  
30 example, certain linear fluorocarbons described herein may be derivatized to attach one or more targeting moiety. A targeting moiety will be selected to facilitate

association of the imaging reagent with the cell to be labeled. A targeting moiety may be designed to cause non-specific insertion of the fluorocarbon into a cell membrane (e.g., a hydrophobic amino acid sequence or other hydrophobic moiety such as a palmitoyl moiety or myristoyl moiety) or to facilitate non-specific entry  
5 into the cell. A targeting moiety may bind to a cell surface component, as in the case of receptor ligands. A targeting moiety may be a member of a specific binding pair, where the partner is a cell surface component. The targeting moiety may be, for example, a ligand for a receptor, or an antibody, such as a monoclonal or polyclonal antibody or any of the various polypeptide binding agents comprising a  
10 variable portion of an immunoglobulin (e.g., Fv fragment, single chain Fv (scFv) fragment, Fab' fragment, F(ab')<sub>2</sub> fragment, single domain antibody, camelized antibody, humanized antibody, diabodies, tribodies, tetrabodies).

Where cells are to be used in a therapeutic regimen, various methods have been used to for delivery of cells including injections and use of special devices to  
15 implant cells in various organs. The present invention is not tied to any particular delivery method. Data presented herein demonstrate that labeled cells may be monitored regardless of whether the cells are delivered directly to a particular site or delivered systemically. Labeled DCs were successfully imaged following either a focal implantation directly into tissues or an intravenous injection. Cells may be  
20 inserted into a delivery device which facilitates introduction by injection or implantation into the subjects. Such delivery devices may include tubes, e.g., catheters, for injecting cells and fluids into the body of a recipient subject. In a preferred embodiment, the tubes additionally have a needle, e.g., a syringe, through which the cells of the invention can be introduced into the subject at a desired  
25 location. The cells may be prepared for delivery in a variety of different forms. For example, the cells may be suspended in a solution or gel or embedded in a support matrix when contained in such a delivery device. Cells may be mixed with a pharmaceutically acceptable carrier or diluent in which the cells of the invention remain viable. Pharmaceutically acceptable carriers and diluents include saline,  
30 aqueous buffer solutions, solvents and/or dispersion media. The use of such carriers and diluents is well known in the art. The solution is preferably sterile and fluid. Preferably, the solution is stable under the conditions of manufacture and storage

and preserved against the contaminating action of microorganisms such as bacteria and fungi through the use of, for example, parabens, chlorobutanol, phenol, ascorbic acid, thimerosal, and the like. Solutions of the invention may be prepared by incorporating cells as described herein in a pharmaceutically acceptable carrier or diluent and, as required, other ingredients enumerated above, followed by filtered sterilization.

#### 4. Nuclear Magnetic Resonance Techniques

As described herein, nuclear magnetic resonance techniques may be used to detect populations of labeled cells. The term “detect” is used to include any effort to ascertain the presence or absence of a labeled molecule or cell, particularly by a nuclear magnetic resonance technique. The term “detect” is also intended to include more sophisticated measurements, including quantitative measurements and two- or three-dimensional image generation. For example, MRI may be used to generate images of such cells. In many instances, the labeled cells may be administered to a living subject. Following administration of the cells, some portion of the subject, or the entire subject, may be examined by MRI to generate an MRI data set. A “data set”, as the term is used herein, is intended to include raw data gathered during magnetic resonance probing of the subject material, as well as information processed, transformed or extracted from the raw data. Examples of processed information include two-dimensional or three-dimensional pictorial representations of the subject material. Another example of extracted information is a score representing the amount or concentration of imaging reagent or  $^{19}\text{F}$  signal in the subject material. For example, the signal-to-noise-ratio (SNR) of the  $^{19}\text{F}$  signal may be measured and used to calculate the abundance of labeled cells. This type of data may be gathered at a single region of the subject, such as, for example, the spleen or another organ of particular relevance to the labeled cells. Labeled cells may be examined in contexts other than in the subject. It may be desirable to examine labeled cells in culture. In certain embodiments, labeled cells may be applied to or generated within a tissue sample or tissue culture, and labeled cells may therefore be

imaged in those contexts as well. For example, an organ, tissue or other cellular material to be transplanted may be contacted with an imaging reagent to generate labeled cells prior to implantation of such transplant in a subject.

In general, labeling agents of the invention are designed for use in conventional MRI detection systems. In the most common implementation of MRI, one observes the hydrogen nucleus (proton,  $^1\text{H}$ ) in molecules of mobile water contained in subject materials. To detect labels disclosed herein, an alternate nucleus is detected,  $^{19}\text{F}$ .  $^{19}\text{F}$  MRI has only slightly less intrinsic sensitivity compared to  $^1\text{H}$ ; the relative sensitivity is approximately 0.83. Both have a spin of  $+1/2$ . The natural isotopic abundance of  $^{19}\text{F}$  is 100%, which is comparable to 99.985% for  $^1\text{H}$ . The physical principles behind the detection and image formation are the same for both  $^1\text{H}$  and  $^{19}\text{F}$  MRI. The subject material is placed in a large static magnetic field. The field tends to align the magnetic moment associated with the  $^1\text{H}$  or  $^{19}\text{F}$  nuclei along the field direction. The nuclei are perturbed from equilibrium by pulsed radio-frequency (RF) radiation at the Larmor frequency, which is a characteristic frequency proportional to the magnetic field strength where nuclei resonantly absorb energy. Upon removing the RF, the nuclei induce a transient voltage in a receiver antenna; this transient voltage constitutes the nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) signal. Spatial information is encoded in both the frequency and/or phase of the NMR signal by selective application of magnetic field gradients that are superimposed onto the large static field. The transient voltages are generally digitized, and then these signals may be processed by, for example, using a computer to yield images.

At constant magnetic field strength, the Larmor frequency of  $^{19}\text{F}$  is only slightly lower ( $\sim 6\%$ ) compared to  $^1\text{H}$ . Thus, it is straightforward to adapt conventional MRI scanners, both hardware and software, to acquire  $^{19}\text{F}$  data. The  $^{19}\text{F}$  detection may be coupled with different types of magnetic resonance scans, such as MRI, MRS or other techniques. Typically, it will be desirable to obtain a  $^1\text{H}$  MRI image to compare against the  $^{19}\text{F}$  image. In a living organism or other biological tissue, the proton MRI will provide an image of the subject material and allow one to define the anatomical context of the labeled cells detected in the  $^{19}\text{F}$  image. In a

preferred embodiment of the invention, data is collected for both  $^{19}\text{F}$  and  $^1\text{H}$  during the same session; the subject is not moved during these acquisitions to better ensure that the two data sets are in spatial registration. Normally,  $^{19}\text{F}$  and  $^1\text{H}$  data sets are acquired sequentially, in either order. Alternatively, with appropriate modifications to the hardware and/or software of the MRI instrument, both data sets can be acquired simultaneously, for example, to conserve imaging time. Other imaging techniques, such as fluorescence detection may be coupled with  $^{19}\text{F}$  MRI. This will be particularly desirable where a fluorocarbon imaging reagent has been derivatized with a fluorescent moiety.

MRI examination may be conducted according to any suitable methodology known in the art. Many different types of MRI pulse sequences, or the set of instructions used by the MRI apparatus to orchestrate data collection, and signal processing techniques (e.g. Fourier transform and projection reconstruction) have been developed over the years for collecting and processing image data (for example, see *Magnetic Resonance Imaging, Third Edition*, editors D.D. Stark and W.G. Bradley, Mosby, Inc., St. Louis MO 1999). The reagents and methods of this invention are not tied to any particular imaging pulse sequence or processing method of the raw NMR signals. For example, MRI methods that can be applied to this invention broadly encompasses spin-echo, stimulated-echo, gradient-echo, free-induction decay based imaging, and any combination thereof. Fast imaging techniques, where more than one line in k-space or large segments of k-space are acquired from each excited signal, are also highly suitable to acquire the  $^{19}\text{F}$  (or  $^1\text{H}$ ) data. Examples of fast imaging techniques include fast spin-echo approaches (e.g. FSE, turbo SE, TSE, RARE, or HASTE), echo-planar imaging (EPI), combined gradient-echo and spin-echo techniques (e.g. GRASE), spiral imaging, and burst imaging. The development of new and improved pulse sequence and signal processing methods is a continuously evolving field, and persons skilled in the art can devise multiple ways to image the  $^{19}\text{F}$  labeled cells in their anatomical context.

As another example of a nuclear magnetic resonance technique, MRS can be used to detect the presence of fluorocarbon-labeled cells in localized tissues or organs. Normally MRS methods are implemented on a conventional MRI scanner.

Often the localized volume of interest (VOI) is defined within a conventional anatomical  $^1\text{H}$  MRI scan. Subsequently the magnitude of the  $^{19}\text{F}$  NMR signal observed within the VOI is directly related to the number of labeled cells, and/or the mean concentration of PFPE per cell present in the tissue or organ. Methods for isolating a VOI within a much larger subject are well known the art (for example, *Magnetic Resonance Imaging, Third Edition*, Chapter 9, Editors D.D. Stark and W.G. Bradley, Mosby, Inc., St. Louis MO 1999). Examples include using a localized RF surface coil near the VOI, surface spoiling, surface coil  $B_1$ -gradient methods, slice-selective  $B_0$ -gradient techniques, STEAM, PRESS, image selective *in vivo* spectroscopy (ISIS), and magnetic resonance spectroscopic imaging (MRSI). The development of new and improved pulse sequence and signal processing methods is continuously evolving for MRS, and persons skilled in the art can devise multiple ways to detect the  $^{19}\text{F}$  NMR signals emanating from the fluorocarbon labeled cells in VOIs.

The application will be more readily understood by reference to the following examples, which are included merely for purposes of illustration of certain aspects and embodiments of the present application, and are not intended to limit the application.

## EXAMPLES

In this section, data demonstrating the feasibility of the invention is presented. The exemplary embodiment of the invention uses fluorine-based imaging reagents to label cultured cells. Labeled cells are introduced into a living subject and tracked *in vivo* using  $^{19}\text{F}$  MRI.  $^{19}\text{F}$  MRI images are overlaid with  $^1\text{H}$  MRI images to establish the anatomical location of the labeled cells.

### 1. NMR properties of PFPE-Labeled Dendritic Cells

These data demonstrate *in vitro* labeling of immune cells with PFPE. Dendritic cells (DCs) from a fetal-skin-derived cell line (FSDCs) [24] were incubated under physiological conditions for 4 hours in culture media (containing



RPMI-1640, 10% fetal bovine serum, 100  $\mu\text{g}/\text{mL}$  streptomycin, 100 U/mL penicillin, and 2 mM glutamine) with the PFPE (i.e. perfluoro-15-crown-5 ether) emulsion particles. The cells were then thoroughly washed of excess PFPE, and  $3 \times 10^6$  cells were placed in a NMR capillary tube. A typical  $^{19}\text{F}$  NMR spectrum from the labeled FSDCs is shown in Figure 1. This spectrum was obtained at (282 MHz) using a standard high-resolution NMR spectrometer. A single NMR peak was observed from the PFPE in the DCs with good a signal-to-noise ratio (Figure 1). The NMR linewidth (full-width at half-maximum) is  $\sim 150$  Hz, which is sufficiently narrow for MRI applications. A reference  $^{19}\text{F}$  compound (trifluoroacetic acid) was placed in a separate tube next to the capillary containing the DCs (Figure 1). By comparing the integrated areas under the two peaks one can quantify the total number of PFPE molecules taken up by the cells. Other cell types have been labeled with PFPE, and similar results were obtained. Figure 1b shows  $^{19}\text{F}$  NMR spectra for rat 9L gliosarcoma cells, T-cell enriched splenocytes, and macrophages labeled with PFPE. Data in Fig. 1b were obtained using similar labeling and measurement procedures as (a). The rat 9L gliosarcoma cells (ATCC, Manassas, VA) were cultured in Dulbecco's Modified Eagle Medium (DMEM) enriched with 10% FBS, 2mM L-glutamine, 100 U/ml penicillin, and 100  $\mu\text{g}/\text{ml}$  streptomycin. The PFPE was pre-mixed with Lipofectamine, and then added to the cell culture and incubated for 4 hours. Cells were thoroughly washed, pelleted, and assayed with  $^{19}\text{F}$  NMR (Fig. 1b). T-cell enriched splenocytes were obtained from a C57BL/6J mouse. The spleen was harvested, crushed between glass slides, and suspended in RPMI with 5% FBS. Red cells were removed. T-cell enrichment was achieved by incubating cells on a prepared nylon column to remove adherent cells. Remaining cells were labeled with PFPE in suspension by incubating the emulsion at physiological conditions in RPMI with 10% FBS for 3 hours. No Lipofectamine was used for these cells. Cells were then collected and loaded into a centrifuge tube with a Ficoll solution to clean the cell suspension of any remaining PFPE. After centrifugation, the cells pellet on the bottom of the tube and the PFPE remains in the Ficoll. The cell pellet was recovered and assayed with  $^{19}\text{F}$  NMR. Macrophages where an adherent EOC-20 mouse cell line (ATCC); these were cultured in supplemented DMEM media according to the supplier's instructions. PFPE was added to the culture media and incubated

overnight. Cells were then washed thoroughly, harvested, and pelleted for  $^{19}\text{F}$  NMR. As was the case with the T-cells, no Lipofectamine was used in labeling the macrophages, as these cells are highly phagocytic and readily take up the emulsion particles.

5

Applicants have successfully labeled several different types of DCs, including bone-marrow-derived DCs (BMDCs) and DCs from a fetal skin-derived cell line [24] (FSDCs). Applicants have also successfully labeled macrophages, T-cells, and gliosarcoma cells and the results indicate that the labeling efficiency is comparable to what is observed in the DCs.  $^{19}\text{F}$  NMR spectra taken in intact cell suspensions have been used to confirm intracellular PFPE labeling and to quantify the amount of uptake.

## 2. *In Vitro* MRI of PFPE-Labeled DCs

To confirm that the PFPE-labeled immune cells are useful for MRI, *in vitro*  $^{19}\text{F}$  imaging of DC suspensions was performed. FSDCs were labeled in the same manner as described above. A phantom was prepared by embedding two 1 mm-diameter glass capillary tubes in agarose, where each capillary tube contained  $3 \times 10^6$  DCs in phosphate buffered saline (PBS). One of the capillary tubes contained unlabeled cells (control). The phantom was placed on a laboratory-built RF surface coil that could be tuned to either  $^1\text{H}$  or  $^{19}\text{F}$  while in the magnet bore. Images were acquired using a standard 2DFT spin-echo pulse sequence in an 11.7 T MRI system. Figure 2 shows the imaging results, where the left panel is the  $^1\text{H}$  image and the right panel is the  $^{19}\text{F}$  image. The  $^{19}\text{F}$  image shows a single intense spot in the region containing the capillary tube with the labeled cells. No chemical-shift artifacts could be detected. The  $^{19}\text{F}$  image has no background  $^1\text{H}$  signal; demonstrating the high selectivity of the imaging technology.

## 3. *In Vivo* MRI of PFPE-Labeled Immune Cells

The PFPE-labeled cells can be visualized in living subjects using MRI under a variety of conditions. Labeled DCs were imaged following either a focal implantation directly into tissues or an intravenous injection. These data show that the sensitivity of the imaging technology is sufficient for use *in vivo* with a variety of cell delivery methods.

In one set of experiments  $3 \times 10^6$  PFPE-labeled FSDCs in PBS were injected directly into the quadriceps muscle on one side of a mouse. The labeling methods were similar to those described above. Image data were acquired ~4 hours post-injection. The injected leg was positioned over a laboratory-built surface coil that could be tuned to either  $^1\text{H}$  or  $^{19}\text{F}$ . Images were acquired over a period of ~2 hours in an anesthetized mouse. Images for both nuclei were obtained using a standard 2DFT spin-echo pulse sequence on an 11.7 T MRI system. Typical results are shown in Figure 3. Note that the  $^{19}\text{F}$  signal appears to track up from the site of injection. This movement may represent the migration of the DCs to the draining lymph node; it is known that a small proportion of DCs injected in this manner can be found in lymph nodes within hours. Also note that in the  $^1\text{H}$  image a small amount of hyperintensity is observed in the muscle in the same location as the labeled cells, which is consistent with the presence of resident inflammatory cells and the implanted DCs.

Figure 4 demonstrates the ability of the labeled PFPE-DCs to migrate to lymph nodes *in vivo*. In this experiment  $3 \times 10^6$  labeled FSDCs were injected directly into the tip of the hindfoot pad. Images were acquired using similar parameters as Figure 3. Approximately 4 hours later, an image of the injected leg shows that the cells have migrated and accumulated into the popliteal lymph node located adjacent to the knee (Fig. 4). The uninjected leg (right) shows no  $^{19}\text{F}$  signal.

In other studies, the feasibility of imaging PFPE-labeled DCs systemically following an intravenous injection was investigated. The mouse torso was imaged *in vivo*, and regions containing a concentrated accumulation of labeled cells were visualized. In these experiments,  $\sim 10 \times 10^6$  labeled FSDCs were labeled using the same method as above and were injected via the tail vein. Representative data is

shown in Figure 5. In this oblique slice through the torso the  $^{19}\text{F}$  signal is most apparent in the liver, spleen, and possibly the lungs (Fig. 5).

#### **4. Phenotype Studies of PFPE-Labeled DCs**

Applicants have performed several experiments to determine whether labeling of DCs with PFPE alters the cellular phenotype. Fluorescence activated cell sorting (FACS) experiments have been performed on primary BMDC cultures and in the FSDC line. Primary DCs were harvested from bone marrow cells extracted from NOD mice. BM cells were cultured in the presence of GM-CSF for 4 days as previously described [1]. On the fourth day maturation conditions were added to some of the cells, consisting of  $\text{TNF}\alpha + \text{PGE}_2$ , and the remaining cells were cultured with GM-CSF alone. DCs cultured in only GM-CSF have an immature phenotype characterized by low levels of costimulatory molecule expression, whereas  $\text{TNF}\alpha$  and  $\text{PGE}_2$  increases the expression of these molecules as well as the production levels of IL-12p70 cytokine. Cells were labeled with PFPE as described above. DCs were purified by magnetic cell sorting using anti-CD11c-coated magnetic microbeads. The phenotype of the cells was determined by flow cytometric analysis for the expression of DC and maturation-specific markers. The ability of the cells to secrete IL-12 was determined by incubating the DCs with CD40L-transfected J558 cells for 48 hours. When the cells were labeled with PFPE compounds there were no observed changes in the phenotype (Figure 6). Similar results were also observed obtained for the DC line (data not shown).

#### **5. Electron Microscopy of PFPE-Labeled DCs**

To elucidate the intracellular distribution of PFPE emulsion particles, we performed electron microscopy (EM) on labeled cells. Figure 7 shows typical results in a single cell. The emulsion particles are readily apparent in large numbers (Fig. 7a) and appear as smooth spheroids. The particles appear to be compartmentalized in regions that are consistent with phagocytic vacuoles (Fig. 7b). The osmium staining

used to highlight intracellular structures also tends to delineate the boundaries of the PFPE particles (Fig. 7b).

FSDCs were labeled with PFPE emulsion particles as described above. The DCs were then washed, pelleted, and fixed in PBS containing 2% glutaraldehyde at room temperature for 30 minutes and held overnight at 4° C. The cells were washed three times in PBS and treated with 1% OsO<sub>4</sub> in PBS for 10 minutes. All of the samples were washed three times in H<sub>2</sub>O and dehydrated in an ascending series of ethanol. Propylene oxide (PO) was used as a transitional solvent. The cells were infiltrated overnight in a solution containing a 1:1 mixture of PO and Epon-Araldite (EA). The next day the mixture was replaced with 100% EA, and the sample was placed in a desiccator for 8 hours. The sample was placed in plastic capsules containing EA and polymerized at 60 C° for 48 hours. Thin (0.1 µm) sections were cut using a microtome and were placed on 200 mesh Cu grids. The samples were stained with 1% aqueous uranyl acetate and Reynolds lead citrate. Sections were imaged using a Hitachi 7100 transmission electron microscope.

## 6. Intracellular Retention Time of PFPE Emulsions

Experiments were performed to estimate the intracellular retention time of the PFPE particles *in vitro* in FSDCs. The results show that there is little or no tendency for the cells to degrade or excrete the PFPE over time. At the experimental onset, numerous identical tissues culture dishes were plated with FSDCs and all were labeled with PFPE as described above. Following labeling, excess PFPE agents in the media were removed by thorough washing. The cells were then incubated at physiological conditions for one week. At various times over the course of a week, DCs in a single culture dish were washed of any PFPE released into the media. The cells were then harvested, pelleted, and the remaining intracellular PFPE concentration was assayed by acquiring <sup>19</sup>F NMR spectra of the pellets (as described in Section 1). Figure 8 shows the time-course for the PFPE retention over a period of a week. During the initial ~2 days cell division occurred at the normal rapid rate; this indicates that the PFPE did not effect cell division nor is it toxic. After the initial period of ~2 days the cells became confluent on the plates and some cell loss

occurred as expected. Consequently, the  $^{19}\text{F}$  signal appears to increase in the remaining DCs due to the re-uptake of the PFPE particles that were released by the lysed apoptotic cells.

## 5     7.     **Boosting PFPE Labeling Efficiency Using Cationic Lipids**

The experiments in this section demonstrate that the incorporation of cationic lipids into the surfactant for generating PFPE emulsions increases the intracellular uptake (Figure 9). For each data point in Fig. 9,  $1 \times 10^6$  FSDCs were labeled with PFPE as described in Section 1. Various amounts of cationic lipids  
10     (Lipofectamine<sup>TM</sup>, Invitrogen Inc.) were pre-mixed with the PFPE emulsion before it was added to the media. After the incubation period, cells were thoroughly washed of excess PFPE, pelleted, and the PFPE content was assayed from the normalized  $^{19}\text{F}$  NMR signal as described above. The results show that a dramatic increase in cellular uptake can be achieved for a range of Lipofectamine  
15     concentrations (Figure 9). Approximately a 26-fold increase in uptake is observed at the highest levels of Lipofectamine studied (Figure 9). EM results in fixed cells confirmed that the PFPE particles were intracellular.

## 8. PFPE Cytotoxicity and Effects on Cell Proliferation

20     PFPE has essentially no toxic effects on cells after uptake. Following PFPE-labeling the fraction of cells surviving was determined using the Vybrant Cytotoxicity Assay (Molecular Probes, Eugene, OR). This assay measures the leakage of a specific enzyme (glucose 6-phosphate dehydrogenase, G6PD) from the cytoplasm into the surrounding culture media, and it is a widely accepted method to  
25     estimate the number of non-viable cells in a population. G6PD reduces the  $\text{NAD}^+$  provided in the reaction mix to NADH, which in turn favors the reduction of the non-fluorescent resazurin into the fluorescent resorufin. Within an hour the cytotoxicity in as few as 500 cells can be measured using a microplate reader. Applicants incubated the FSDCs for 3 hours at  $37^\circ\text{C}$  with PFPE, PFPE plus  
30     Lipofectamine transfection agent (to boost intracellular uptake), Lipofectamine

alone, and plain cells. The cells were then washed, counted, and seeded into 96-well microplates. At times 0, 18, and 24 after labeling the cells were assayed according to the manufacturer's directions. Figure 10 shows the results. Overall, there is little or no apparent toxicity for all times studied.

5           To test whether PFPE-labeling had any adverse effect on cellular proliferation, applicants have used two complementary methods, including the MTT and the total double stranded DNA (dsDNA) assays. The mitochondrial, or MTT assay (ATCC, Manassas, VA), provides information about the cells' response to external factors. The yellow methyl thiazole tetrazolium (MTT) is reduced to purple  
10   formazan by dehydrogenases in the intact mitochondria. After cell lysis the formazan's absorbance is photometrically quantified in a 96-well microplate reader. The PFPE-labeled cells and controls were prepared identically to those described for the G6PD cytotoxicity assay (above). Following the manufacturer's instructions, the MTT proliferation was assayed at 0, 18, and 24 hours post-labeling. Figure 11a  
15   shows the normalized MTT absorption versus time. No significant difference among the groups is observed.

          The total cell number over time can also be accurately measured by fluorescent techniques. Applicants have used the FluoReporter Blue Fluorometric dsDNA Quantification Kit (Molecular Probes, Eugene, OR) which contains a cell-  
20   permeant dye (Hoechst 33258). Upon binding nonintercalatively to A/T-rich regions of dsDNA, the fluorescence yield of bis-benzimidazole increases linearly with the number of cells in the sample. Labeled FSDCs and controls were prepared in an identical fashion as for the MTT and G6PD cytotoxicity assays. Cells were assayed at 0, 18, and 24 hours post-labeling according to the manufacturer's instructions  
25   using a microplate reader. The results are shown in Figure 11b. Little or no significant difference in cell proliferation was observed between labeled cells and unlabeled cells.

## **9. Linear PFPE Imaging Reagents**

30           A 1,600 MW linear polyethylene oxide terminated with methyl ester functional groups was synthesized according to the methods described in U.S. Pat.

Nos. 5,539,059. Applicants have successfully synthesized emulsions from the linear PFPE ester (Figure 12a). Thus far, the final product has been characterized by  $^{19}\text{F}$  NMR (Figure 12b) and EM (Figure 13a). This material gives one major  $^{19}\text{F}$  NMR resonance with a small side peak that is acceptable for MRI applications. If needed,  
5 off-resonance saturating RF pulses may be used to eliminate image ghosts from the small peak. The EM data was used to assay emulsion particle size. Figure 13b shows a histogram of particle sizes calculated from multiple EM micrographs. Sizes were calculated in an automated fashion using a commercial software program (Scion Corp., Fredrick, MD). The mean particle size was calculated to be  $42 \pm 19$   
10 nm (error is standard deviation). This particle size is smaller than the perfluoro-15-crown-5 ether emulsions used for the results shown above, and the smaller size is well suited to the imaging technology. To make these emulsions, dimethyl ester PFPE was heated with polyethylene glycol (PEG) 600 MW and O-(2-Aminoethyl)-O-methyl polyethylene glycol 750 (Sigma-Aldrich) at 85 °C for 48 hrs. A 2:1 mole  
15 ratio of PEG:PFPE was used. Methylene chloride and lecithin (from egg phospholipids, Sigma, St. Louis, MS) were added to the mixture and desiccated in pure nitrogen. Distilled  $\text{H}_2\text{O}$  was added, and the mixture was sonicated for 3 min to form emulsions.

The linear PFPE ester reacts readily to produce derivatives with a range of  
20 properties. The reaction chemistry of the end groups of polyethylene glycols is well understood and may be exploited to prepare a range of conjugated imaging reagents. As outlined in Figure 14, hydrophilic and lipophilic moieties may be attached to this PFPE forming water-soluble and lipid-soluble conjugates, respectively. Coupling polyethylene glycol (PEG) groups to this PFPE will make a tri-block copolymer  
25 with water-soluble regions on each end and a hydrophobic region in the center (Figure 14, Rxn 1). The properties of the tri-block copolymers are adjusted by varying the size of the PEG sections relative to the PPO section. Block copolymers containing water-soluble, fluorocarbon, and hydrocarbon sections are known to segregate into domains with each polymer type associating only with itself [46]. The  
30 PEG-PFPE-PEG copolymer is expected to behave similarly, forming micelles with a PFPE core surrounded by a water-soluble PEG coat. Amino-PEG blocks are commercially available with a range of molecular weights. Coupling the PFPE core



with other groups, such as aliphatic amines (Figure 14, Rxn 2 and 4a) and phosphatidyl ethanolamine (Figure 14, Rxn 3) in place of the PEG sections, will give derivatives with different solubility characteristics. Dual-mode agents composed of PFPE with fluorescent dye endgroups, such as Cy5 (Figure 14, Rxn. 4b), may be prepared. As is the case for fluorescently tagged proteins, the fluorescent dyes can act as markers for the distribution and localization of the conjugates within cells and can be used with FACS analysis and optical microscopy.

As prepared previously, the perfluoro-15-crown-5 ether may be emulsified in a mixture of 2% lecithin and 2% safflower oil using sonication, forming average droplets of ~100-200 nm. Many other surfactant compositions (e.g. Pluronics) known to those skilled in the art can be used in the formation of similar emulsions. Micelles of the linear PFPE derivatives may also be formed by sonication. Emulsification of perfluoropolyethers has been described by Visca, et al. [44] and Brunetta [43].

These procedures will be used as a basis for producing emulsions of PFPE derivatives. The high water solubility of CyDyes will produce micelles similar to the PEG-PFPE couples. Additional surfactants, such as lecithin, may be added as needed to produce small, stable micelles. Formation of micelles may be optimized for maximum PFPE content.

The final PFPE content of the emulsions and micelles may be measured by using  $^{19}\text{F}$  NMR relative to a known concentration of trifluoroacetic acid. The average particle size of each emulsion may be estimated by EM or light scattering techniques. EM micrographs or light scattering may also be used to calculate histograms of particle diameters.

## INCORPORATION BY REFERENCE

All publications and patents mentioned herein are hereby incorporated by reference in their entirety as if each individual publication or patent was specifically and individually indicated to be incorporated by reference. In case of conflict, the present application, including any definitions herein, will control.

1. Feili-Hariri, M., et al., *Immunotherapy of NOD mice with bone marrow-derived dendritic cells*. Diabetes, 1999. 48: p. 2300-2308.
2. Pluchino, S., et al., *Injection of adult neurospheres induces recovery in a chronic model of multiple sclerosis*. Nature, 2003. 422(6933): p. 688-694.
- 5 3. Yeh, T.C., et al., *In-vivo dynamic MRI tracking of rat T-cells labeled with superparamagnetic iron-oxide particles*. Magn Reson Med, 1995. 33: p. 200-208.
4. Schulze, E., et al., *Cellular uptake and trafficking of a prototypical magnetic iron oxide label in vitro*. Invest Radiol, 1995. 30(10): p. 604-10.
- 10 5. Moore, A., R. Weissleder, and A. Bogdanov, *Uptake of dextran-coated monocrystalline iron oxides in tumor cells and macrophages*. JMRI-Journal of Magnetic Resonance Imaging, 1997. 7(6): p. 1140-1145.
6. Weissleder, R., et al., *Magnetically labeled cells can be detected by MR imaging*. JMRI-Journal of Magnetic Resonance Imaging, 1997. 7(1): p. 258-263.
- 15 7. Schoepf, U., et al., *Intracellular magnetic labeling of lymphocytes for in vivo trafficking studies*. Biotechniques, 1998. 24(4): p. 642-+.
8. Ye, Q., et al., *In vivo detection of acute rat renal allograft rejection by MRI with USPIO particles*. Kidney International, 2002. 61(3): p. 1124-1135.
- 20 9. Dousset, V., et al., *In vivo macrophage activity imaging in the central nervous system detected by magnetic resonance*. Magnetic Resonance in Medicine, 1999. 41(2): p. 329-333.
10. Josephson, L., et al., *High-efficiency intracellular magnetic labeling with novel superparamagnetic-tat peptide conjugates*. Bioconjugate Chemistry, 1999. 10(2): p. 186-191.
- 25 11. Dodd, C.H., et al., *Normal T-cell response and in vivo magnetic resonance imaging of T cells loaded with HIV transactivator-peptide-derived superparamagnetic nanoparticles*. Journal of Immunological Methods, 2001. 256(1-2): p. 89-105.
- 30 12. Ahrens, E.T., et al., *Receptor-mediated endocytosis of iron-oxide particles provides efficient labeling of dendritic cells for in vivo MR imaging*. Magn. Reson. Med., 2003. 46(6): p. 1006-1013.
13. Hoehn, M., et al., *Monitoring of implanted stem cell migration in vivo: A highly resolved in vivo magnetic resonance imaging investigation of experimental stroke in rat*. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America, 2002. 99(25): p. 16267-16272.
- 35 14. Lewin, M., et al., *Tat peptide-derivatized magnetic nanoparticles allow in vivo tracking and recovery of progenitor cells*. Nature Biotechnology, 2000. 18(4): p. 410-414.
- 40 15. Kanno, S., et al., *Macrophage accumulation associated with rat cardiac allograft rejection detected by magnetic resonance imaging with ultrasmall superparamagnetic iron oxide particles*. Circulation, 2001. 104(8): p. 934-938.
16. Fishman, J.E., et al., *Oxygen-sensitive  $^{19}\text{F}$  NMR imaging of the vascular system in vivo*. Magn Reson Imaging, 1987. 5(4): p. 279-85.
- 45 17. Eidelberg, D., et al.,  *$^{19}\text{F}$  NMR imaging of blood oxygenation in the brain*. Magn Reson Med, 1988. 6(3): p. 344-52.

18. Dardzinski, B.J. and C.H. Sotak, *Rapid tissue oxygen tension mapping using 19F inversion-recovery echo-planar imaging of perfluoro-15-crown-5-ether*. Magn Reson Med, 1994. 32(1): p. 88-97.
- 5 19. Noth, U., et al., *In-vivo measurement of partial oxygen-pressure in large vessels and in the reticuloendothelial system using fast 19F-MRI*. Magn Reson Med, 1995. 34(5): p. 738-745.
20. Lutz, J., et al., *Measurement of oxygen tensions in the abdominal cavity and in the skeletal muscle using 19F-MRI of neat PFC droplets*. Oxygen Transport to Tissue Xix, 1997. 428: p. 569-572.
- 10 21. Duong, T.Q. and S.G. Kim, *In vivo MR measurements of regional arterial and venous blood volume fractions in intact rat brain*. Magn. Reson. Med., 2000. 43(3): p. 393-402.
22. McGoron, A.J., et al., *Perfluorocarbon distribution to liver, lung and spleen of emulsions of perfluorotributylamine (FTBA) in pigs and rats and perfluorooctyl bromide (PFOB) in rats and dogs by F-19 NMR-spectroscopy*. Artificial Cells Blood Substitutes and Immobilization Biotechnology, 1994. 22(4): p. 1243-1250.
- 15 23. Noth, U., et al., *Perfluoro-15-crown-5-ether labelled macrophages in adoptive transfer experimental allergic encephalomyelitis*. Artificial Cells Blood Substitutes and Immobilization Biotechnology, 1997. 25(3): p. 243-254.
- 20 24. Girolomoni, G., et al., *Establishment of a cell-line with features of early dendritic cell precursors from fetal mouse skin*. European Journal of Immunology, 1995. 25(8): p. 2163-2169.
- 25

## EQUIVALENTS

While specific embodiments of the subject inventions are explicitly disclosed herein, the above specification is illustrative and not restrictive. Many variations of the inventions will become apparent to those skilled in the art upon review of this

30 specification and the claims below. The full scope of the inventions should be determined by reference to the claims, along with their full scope of equivalents, and the specification, along with such variations.

WE CLAIM:

1. A method for labeling a cell, the method comprising contacting the cell *ex vivo* with a fluorocarbon imaging reagent under conditions such that the fluorocarbon imaging reagent becomes associated with the cell.
2. The method of claim 1, wherein the fluorocarbon imaging reagent is a perfluoropolyether.
3. The method of claim 1, wherein the cell is contacted with the fluorocarbon imaging reagent in the presence of an uptake enhancing reagent.
4. The method of claim 3, wherein the uptake enhancing reagent comprises a cationic lipid.
5. The method of claim 1, wherein at least a portion of the fluorocarbon imaging reagent is internalized into the cell.
6. The method of claim 1, wherein at least a portion of the fluorocarbon imaging reagent is associated with the extracellular surface of the cell.
7. The method of claim 1, wherein the fluorocarbon imaging reagent is conjugated to a cellular targeting moiety.
8. The method of claim 7, wherein the cellular targeting moiety comprises an antibody that binds to an epitope that is exposed to the extracellular milieu.
9. The method of claim 1, wherein the fluorocarbon imaging reagent is conjugated to an internalization moiety.
10. The method of claim 1, wherein the cell is a mammalian cell.
11. The method of claim 1, wherein the cell is a cell of the immune system.
12. The method of claim 1, wherein the cell is a dendritic cell.
13. The method of claim 1, wherein the fluorocarbon imaging reagent is formulated as an emulsion.
14. The method of claim 1, wherein the emulsion comprises particles having a mean diameter of between 30 and 500 nm.


15. The method of claim 1, wherein the fluorocarbon imaging reagent is a perfluoro-crown ether.

16. The method of claim 15, wherein the imaging reagent is a perfluoro-15-crown-5-ether.

5 17. The method of claim 1, wherein the fluorocarbon is a perfluorinated polyether having an average formula:



wherein Y is selected from the group consisting of:




10 wherein n is an integer from 8 to 20; wherein X and Z are the same and are selected from the group consisting of perfluoroalkyls, perfluoroethers, fluoroalkyls terminated with fluoroacyl, carboxyl, amide or ester, methylols, acid chlorides, amides, amidines, acrylates and esters.

15 18. The method of claim 1, wherein the imaging reagent comprises an additional functional moiety.


19. The method of claim 18, wherein the additional functional moiety is a detection moiety.

20 20. The method of claim 19, wherein the detection moiety is selected from the group consisting of: a fluorescent detection moiety and a PET detection moiety.

21. An imaging reagent having an average formula:



wherein Y is selected from the group consisting of:



wherein n is an integer from 8 to 20; wherein X and Z are the same and are selected from the group consisting of perfluoroalkyls, perfluoroethers, fluoroalkyls terminated with fluoroacyl, carboxyl, amide or ester, methylols, acid chlorides, amides, amidines, acrylates and esters.

5

22. The imaging reagent of claim 21, wherein n=11.

10

23. The imaging reagent of claim 21, wherein X and Z are perfluoroethers terminated with a carboxyl group.

24. The imaging reagent of claim 21, wherein each carboxyl is derivatized with a polyethylene glycol.

25. The imaging reagent of claim 21, wherein X and Z are derivatized with a fluorescent detection moiety.

15

26. A linear fluorocarbon derivatized at one or more polymer ends with at least one functional moiety, wherein the at least one functional moiety is selected from the group consisting of: a detection moiety, a hydrophilic moiety, a targeting moiety and a cellular uptake moiety.

20

27. The linear fluorocarbon of claim 26, wherein the linear fluorocarbon is a linear perfluoropolyether.

28. The linear fluorocarbon of claim 26, wherein the at least one functional moiety is a detection moiety.

29. The linear fluorocarbon of claim 28, wherein the detection moiety is selected from the group consisting of: a fluorescent detection moiety and a PET detection moiety.
- 5 30. An emulsion comprising a perfluoropolyether and having a particle size ranging from 10 to 500 nm.
31. The emulsion of claim 30, wherein the emulsion is stable at temperatures ranging from 4°C to 37°C.
32. A method for detecting a cell in a subject, the method comprising:
- 10       a. administering to the subject a cell that is labeled with a fluorocarbon imaging reagent; and
- b. examining at least a portion of the subject by a nuclear magnetic resonance technique, thereby detecting a labeled cell in the subject.
33. The method of claim 32, wherein examining by a nuclear magnetic resonance technique comprises collecting an  $^{19}\text{F}$  data set.
- 15 34. The method of claim 33, further comprising collecting an  $^1\text{H}$  data set.
35. The method of claim 34, further comprising generating and comparing a  $^{19}\text{F}$  image and a  $^1\text{H}$  image.
36. The method of claim 32, wherein the nuclear magnetic resonance technique is magnetic resonance imaging (MRI).
- 20 37. The method of claim 32, wherein the nuclear magnetic resonance technique is magnetic resonance spectroscopy (MRS).
38. The method of claim 32, wherein the fluorocarbon imaging reagent is a perfluoropolyether.
39. The method of claim 38, wherein the fluorocarbon imaging reagent is selected from the group consisting of: a linear perfluoropolyether, a cyclic perfluoropolyether and a mixture thereof.
- 25 40. The method of claim 32, wherein the cell is a mammalian cell.
41. The method of claim 32, wherein the cell is a cell of the immune system.

42. The method of claim 32, wherein the cell is a dendritic cell.
43. The method of claim 32, wherein the cell is administered to the subject as part of a cellular therapeutic regimen.
44. The method of claim 31, wherein the cell is a stem cell.
- 5 45. A labeled cellular formulation for administration to a subject, the formulation comprising:
- a. a cell; and
  - b. a fluorocarbon imaging reagent that is associated with the cell.
- 10 46. The formulation of claim 45, further comprising a pharmaceutically acceptable excipient.
47. The formulation of claim 45, wherein the fluorocarbon imaging reagent is a perfluoropolyether.
48. The formulation of claim 45, wherein at least a portion of the fluorocarbon imaging reagent is internalized into the cell.
- 15 49. The formulation of claim 45, wherein at least a portion of the fluorocarbon imaging reagent is associated with the extracellular surface of the cell.
50. The formulation of claim 45, wherein the fluorocarbon imaging reagent is conjugated to a cellular targeting moiety.
- 20 51. The formulation of claim 50, wherein the cellular targeting moiety comprises an antibody that binds to an epitope that is exposed to the extracellular milieu.
52. The formulation of claim 45, wherein the fluorocarbon imaging reagent is conjugated to an internalization moiety.
53. The formulation of claim 45, wherein the cell is a mammalian cell.
- 25 54. The formulation of claim 45, wherein the cell is a cell of the immune system.
55. The formulation of claim 45, wherein the cell is a dendritic cell.
56. The formulation of claim 45, wherein the cell is prepared for use in a cellular therapeutic regimen.




57. A method for detecting transplanted cells in a transplant recipient, the method comprising:
- a. administering cells for transplant to a transplant recipient, at least a portion of which cells for transplant are labeled with a fluorocarbon imaging reagent;
  - b. examining at least a portion of the subject by a nuclear magnetic resonance technique, thereby detecting the labeled cells.
58. The method of claim 57, wherein the location and optionally the trafficking of labeled cells is detected in the transplant recipient.
59. The method of claim 56, wherein the nuclear magnetic resonance technique is selected from the group consisting of: magnetic resonance imaging and magnetic resonance spectroscopy.
60. The method of claim 56, wherein the transplant recipient is a bone marrow transplant recipient.
61. The method of claim 56, wherein the cells for transplant comprise hematopoietic stem cells.
62. The method of claim 56, wherein the cells for transplant are derived from bone marrow, cord blood or peripheral blood.
63. The method of claim 56, wherein the transplant recipient is the recipient of a donor organ.
64. The method of claim 62, wherein at least a portion of the cells of the donor organ are labeled with a fluorocarbon imaging reagent.

ABSTRACT:


CELLULAR LABELING FOR NUCLEAR MAGNETIC RESONANCE  
TECHNIQUES

5     The disclosure provides, in part, fluorocarbon imaging reagents and  
formulations for the *ex vivo* labeling of cells. Labeled cells may be detected in vivo  
or *ex vivo* by a nuclear magnetic resonance technique, such as magnetic resonance  
imaging (MRI) or magnetic resonance spectroscopy (MRS). The disclosure  
additionally provides methods for using the imaging reagents in a variety of clinical  
procedures.

**Figure 1**



**Figure 2**



**Figure 3**







Figure 4



**Figure 5**




**Figure 6**






**Figure 7**




BEST AVAILABLE COPY


Figure 8




**Figure 9**



**Figure 10**




**Figure 11**



**Figure 12.**


5

A.




15

B.




**Figure 13.**




**Figure 14.**


Rxn 1: PFPE-ester + O-aminoethyl-O'-methyl-PEG 750 → PEG2-PFPE



Rxn 2: PFPE-ester + hexadecylamine → bis-hexadecylamido-PFPE



Rxn 3: PFPE-ester + dimyristoyl phosphatidyl ethanolamine → diPE-PFPE






**Figure 14 (cont.)**

Rxn 4a: PFPE-ester + 1,6-diaminohexane  $\rightarrow$  bis-aminohexyl-PFPE-amide

5 Rxn 4b: bis-aminohexyl-PFPE-amide + Cy5-NHS ester  $\rightarrow$  di-Cy5-PFPE



**This Page is Inserted by IFW Indexing and Scanning  
Operations and is not part of the Official Record**

## **BEST AVAILABLE IMAGES**

Defective images within this document are accurate representations of the original documents submitted by the applicant.

Defects in the images include but are not limited to the items checked:

- ☐ **BLACK BORDERS**
- ☐ **IMAGE CUT OFF AT TOP, BOTTOM OR SIDES**
- ☐ **FADED TEXT OR DRAWING**
- ☐ **BLURRED OR ILLEGIBLE TEXT OR DRAWING**
- ☐ **SKEWED/SLANTED IMAGES**
- ☒ **COLOR OR BLACK AND WHITE PHOTOGRAPHS**
- ☐ **GRAY SCALE DOCUMENTS**
- ☐ **LINES OR MARKS ON ORIGINAL DOCUMENT**
- ☐ **REFERENCE(S) OR EXHIBIT(S) SUBMITTED ARE POOR QUALITY**
- ☐ **OTHER:** \_\_\_\_\_

**IMAGES ARE BEST AVAILABLE COPY.**

**As rescanning these documents will not correct the image problems checked, please do not report these problems to the IFW Image Problem Mailbox.**